Prepared for submission to JHEP CERN-TH-2016-080

arXiv:1603.05551v2 [hep-th] 16 May 2016

Projectors and seed conformal blocks for traceless mixed-symmetry tensors

Miguel S. Costa[†,◇], **Tobias Hansen**[†,‡], **João Penedones**[†,◇,♯], **Emilio Trevisani**[†]

[†] *Centro de Física do Porto, Departamento de Física e Astronomia*
Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade do Porto
Rua do Campo Alegre 687, 4169–007 Porto, Portugal
[◇] *Theory Division, Department of Physics, CERN*
CH-1211 Genève 23, Switzerland
[‡] *II. Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Hamburg*
Luruper Chaussee 149, D-22761 Hamburg, Germany
[♯] *Fields and Strings Laboratory, Institute of Physics, EPFL*
CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

Abstract: In this paper we derive the projectors to all irreducible $SO(d)$ representations (traceless mixed-symmetry tensors) that appear in the partial wave decomposition of a conformal correlator of four stress-tensors in d dimensions. These projectors are given in a closed form for arbitrary length l_1 of the first row of the Young diagram. The appearance of Gegenbauer polynomials leads directly to recursion relations in l_1 for seed conformal blocks. Further results include a differential operator that generates the projectors to traceless mixed-symmetry tensors and the general normalization constant of the shadow operator.

Keywords: CFT, conformal field theory, conformal blocks, special orthogonal group

Contents

1 Introduction 1

2 Projectors to traceless mixed-symmetry tensors 2
- 2.1 Review of projectors to traceless symmetric tensors 2
- 2.2 Projectors for Young diagrams with two rows 3
  - 2.2.1 Birdtrack notation 5
  - 2.2.2 Projectors to the irreps $(l_1, 1)$ 6
  - 2.2.3 Algorithm for solving the differential equations 6
  - 2.2.4 Projectors to the irreps $(l_1, 2)$ 7
- 2.3 Projectors for Young diagrams with three rows 8
  - 2.3.1 Projectors to the irreps $(l_1, 1, 1)$ 9
  - 2.3.2 Projectors to the irreps $(l_1, 2, 1)$ 9
  - 2.3.3 Projectors to the irreps $(l_1, 2, 2)$ 11
- 2.4 Normalization of the projectors 12

3 Recursion relations for seed conformal blocks 14
- 3.1 Classification of seed conformal blocks 14
- 3.2 Three-point functions 15
- 3.3 Recursion relations from the shadow formalism 17
- 3.4 Solution of the recursion relation in terms of scalar conformal blocks 19
- 3.5 Recursion relation for radial coordinates 20

4 Concluding remarks 21

A A mixed-symmetry differential operator 22

B Relating different projectors 24

C More projectors 25
- C.1 Projectors to the irreps $(l_1, 3)$ 25
- C.2 Projectors to the irreps $(l_1, 4)$ 26
- C.3 Projectors to the irreps $(l_1, 3, 1)$ 28

D Computation of the constants $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}_{\Delta\Delta_{12}}$ from the shadow formalism 31
- D.1 Spin transfer operator 31
- D.2 Young diagrams with two rows 33
- D.3 Arbitrary representations 36
- D.4 Conformal integrals 38

E OPE limit of conformal blocks in the shadow formalism **40**

F Spherical tensor harmonics **41**
F.1 Covariant derivatives 44

---

1 Introduction

One missing ingredient for the conformal bootstrap program [1–34] for correlation functions with operators with spin in d dimensions is the explicit knowledge of seed conformal blocks exchanging mixed-symmetry tensors. Seed conformal blocks are the conformal blocks exchanging a given irreducible representation (irrep) of $SO(d)$, while having a minimal amount of spin in the external operators, such that the conformal block is unique. They are seeds in the sense that conformal blocks for the exchange of the same representation, but for external operators with higher spin, can be derived from them by acting with differential operators that generate the required extra tensor structures, as described in [26, 35, 36].

Much of the structure of a seed conformal block is encoded by the projector to the $SO(d)$ irrep which labels the exchanged operator. This can be seen by considering the integral expressions of the conformal blocks in the shadow formalism [37–39]. Indeed, the lack of explicit expressions for the projectors is the main reason why the seed conformal blocks are still unknown. So far, the projectors and seed conformal blocks in d dimensions are only known for the exchanged operators in the irreps $(l_1) =$  [38, 40, 41] and $(l_1, 1) =$ [42]. Expressions for the projectors to the irreps $(l_1, 1, 1)$ and $(l_1, 2)$ were given in [43, 44]. Table 1 shows all irreps that appear in a correlator of four stress-tensors, and for each irrep the correlator where it appears in a seed conformal block.

In section 2 the projectors for all irreps appearing in Table 1 will be derived in a compact form. The length of the first row of the Young diagram l_1 is left unspecified and only appears in the final results as a parameter of Gegenbauer polynomials and in the overall normalization. A consequence of this are universal recursion relations in l_1 for the seed conformal blocks. These recursion relations are shown to hold for the seed conformal blocks of all the correlators in Table 1 and conjectured to hold for any seed conformal block of bosonic operators. These relations are derived in section 3, making use of the integral representations of the conformal blocks in the shadow formalism, where the projector appears explicitly. Section 4 presents final remarks.

The appendices contain several other general results related to projectors and seed conformal blocks. In appendix A we derive a differential operator that generates projectors to traceless mixed-symmetry tensors for Young diagrams of two rows. This operator is a generalization of a well known operator for traceless symmetric tensors. Appendix B deals with a relation between projectors of different irreps that arise from certain index contractions. In appendix C we state some of the longer explicit results for projectors. Appendix D computes

Correlator	Exchanged $SO(d)$ irreps as seed conformal blocks
$\langle\phi_1\phi_2\phi_3\phi_4\rangle$	
$\langle\phi_1 J_2^\mu \phi_3 J_4^\nu\rangle$	
$\langle\phi_1 T_2^{\mu\nu} \phi_3 T_4^{\rho\sigma}\rangle$	
$\langle J_1^\mu J_2^\nu J_3^\rho J_4^\sigma\rangle$	
$\langle J_1^\mu T_2^{\nu\rho} J_3^\sigma T_4^{\lambda\kappa}\rangle$	
$\langle T_1^{\mu\nu} T_2^{\rho\sigma} T_3^{\lambda\kappa} T_4^{\tau\omega}\rangle$	

Table 1. Exchanged irreps in correlators of currents and stress-tensors. Each line shows only the irreps exchanged as a seed block. For a correlator in a given line, the irreps in the lines above can also be exchanged, but those conformal blocks can be constructed by acting with derivatives.

the normalization constant that arises when a shadow transformation is performed on an operator in any three-point function that can appear in a seed conformal block. In appendix E the OPE limit of general seed conformal blocks in the shadow formalism is computed to facilitate comparisons to other results. Finally, in appendix F we explain the relation between projectors to traceless mixed-symmetry tensors and tensor harmonics on the sphere. Included with this work is a Mathematica notebook containing the derived projectors.

2 Projectors to traceless mixed-symmetry tensors

2.1 Review of projectors to traceless symmetric tensors

As an inspiration for the ideas ahead let us briefly review how to quickly derive the projector to traceless symmetric tensors encoded in a simple polynomial, following [38]. The projector is defined by its symmetry

$$\pi_{(l)}^{a_1\ldots a_l,b_1\ldots b_l} = \pi_{(l)}^{(a_1\ldots a_l),(b_1\ldots b_l)}\,, \tag{2.1}$$

tracelessness

$$\delta_{a_1a_2}\pi_{(l)}^{a_1\ldots a_l,b_1\ldots b_l} = \pi_{(l)}^{a_1\ldots a_l,b_1\ldots b_l}\delta_{b_1b_2} = 0\,, \tag{2.2}$$

and idempotence

$$\pi_{(l)}^{a_1\ldots a_l,b_1\ldots b_l}\pi_{(l)\,b_1\ldots b_l}{}^{c_1\ldots c_l} = \pi_{(l)}^{a_1\ldots a_l,c_1\ldots c_l}\,. \tag{2.3}$$

Due to the first property the projector can be implemented as a function of a single variable by contracting with two auxiliary vectors $z^a, \bar{z}^b \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\pi_{(l)}(z,\bar{z}) = z^{a_1}\dots z^{a_l}\pi_{(l)a_1\dots a_l,b_1\dots b_l}\bar{z}^{b_1}\dots\bar{z}^{b_l} = \left(z^2\bar{z}^2\right)^{\frac{l}{2}} f_l(t)\,, \qquad t = \frac{z\cdot\bar{z}}{\left(z^2\bar{z}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\,. \tag{2.4}$$

The tracelessness can be imposed using the differential operator

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\,\pi_\lambda(z,\bar{z}) = 0\,, \tag{2.5}$$

which results in a Gegenbauer differential equation for $f_l(t)$,

$$(t^2-1)f_l''(t) + (d-1)tf_l'(t) = l(l+d-2)f_l(t)\,, \tag{2.6}$$

constraining $f_l(t)$ to be proportional to the Gegenbauer polynomial $C_l^{\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)}(t)$. The result including normalization is [38]

$$\pi_{(l)}(z,\bar{z}) = c_{(l)}\left(z^2\bar{z}^2\right)^{\frac{l}{2}} C_l^{\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)}(t)\,, \quad \text{with } c_{(l)} = \frac{l!}{2^l\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)_l}\,, \tag{2.7}$$

where $(x)_n = \frac{\Gamma(x+n)}{\Gamma(x)}$ is the Pochhammer symbol.

2.2 Projectors for Young diagrams with two rows

Next let us consider the irreps which are labeled by Young diagrams with two rows $\lambda = (l_1, l_2)$. The total number of boxes in the Young diagram λ will be denoted by $|\lambda|$, so in the case at hand we have $|\lambda| = l_1 + l_2$. Again we want to construct these projectors as polynomials and then impose differential equations. In this case the projector can be encoded in a polynomial depending on four vectors

$$\pi_\lambda(z_1,z_2,\bar{z}_1,\bar{z}_2) = z_1^{a_1}\dots z_1^{a_{l_1}} z_2^{a_{l_1+1}}\dots z_2^{a_{|\lambda|}}\pi_{\lambda a_1\dots a_{|\lambda|},b_1\dots b_{|\lambda|}}\bar{z}_1^{b_1}\dots\bar{z}_1^{b_{l_1}}\bar{z}_2^{b_{l_1+1}}\dots\bar{z}_2^{b_{|\lambda|}}\,. \tag{2.8}$$

Our strategy is now to first implement the mixed-symmetry property and then the tracelessness. Along the way we will always make sure to keep the construction symmetric under exchange of z_i and $\bar{z}_i$,

$$\pi_\lambda(z_1,z_2,\bar{z}_1,\bar{z}_2) = \pi_\lambda(\bar{z}_1,\bar{z}_2,z_1,z_2)\,. \tag{2.9}$$

This means it is enough to impose conditions on one side of the projector.

The mixed symmetry or Young symmetrization of a tensor f in the symmetric representation of the irrep (l_1,l_2) amounts to the following two conditions[1]

$$\begin{aligned} f_{a_1\dots a_{l_1}b_1\dots b_{l_2}} &= f_{(a_1\dots a_{l_1})(b_1\dots b_{l_2})}\,, \\ -f_{(a_1\dots a_{l_1})(b_1\dots b_{l_2})} &= f_{(b_1a_2\dots a_{l_1})(a_1b_2\dots b_{l_2})} + f_{(a_1b_1a_3\dots a_{l_1})(a_2b_2\dots b_{l_2})} + \dots + f_{(a_1\dots a_{l_1-1}b_1)(a_{l_1}b_2\dots b_{l_2})}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

[1] This is explained in section 2.3 of [45].

The first condition is automatically satisfied after the contraction with polarizations. The second one can be rephrased as

$$z_1^{a_1}\dots z_1^{a_{l_1}} z_1^{b_1} z_2^{b_2}\dots z_2^{b_{l_2}} f_{(a_1\dots a_{l_1})(b_1\dots b_{l_2})} = 0\,. \tag{2.11}$$

One can see the equivalence by acting on the latter expression with

$$\partial_{z_1^{a_1}}\dots\partial_{z_1^{a_{l_1}}}\partial_{z_1^{b_1}}\partial_{z_2^{b_2}}\dots\partial_{z_2^{b_{l_2}}}\,. \tag{2.12}$$

Hence, the mixed-symmetry property just means that the polynomial must vanish whenever one of the vectors z_2 is replaced by z_1, or

$$\left(z_1\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\right)\pi_\lambda(z_1,z_2,\bar z_1,\bar z_2) = 0\,. \tag{2.13}$$

This can be easily implemented by constructing $\pi_\lambda(z_1,z_2,\bar z_1,\bar z_2)$ out of structures Q_s that have this property

$$\pi_\lambda(z_1,z_2,\bar z_1,\bar z_2) = c_\lambda\left(z_1^2\bar z_1^2\right)^{\frac{l_1}{2}}\sum_s f_s(t)Q_s(z_1,z_2,\bar z_1,\bar z_2)\,,\qquad t=\frac{z_1\cdot\bar z_1}{(z_1^2\bar z_1^2)^{\frac12}}\,. \tag{2.14}$$

The structures Q_s have weight l_2 in z_2 and $\bar z_2$, and zero weight in z_1 and $\bar z_1$. We are already familiar with such transverse structures from the construction of conformally invariant correlators in embedding space. These structures can be built out of transverse building blocks. In this case one can use

$$\begin{aligned}
H(z_2,\bar z_2) &= z_2^a H_{ab}\bar z_2^b = z_2^a\left(\delta_{ab}-\frac{\bar z_{1a}z_{1b}}{z_1\cdot\bar z_1}\right)\bar z_2^b\,,\\
V(z_2) &= z_2^a V_a = z_2^a\left(\delta_{ab}-\frac{z_{1a}z_{1b}}{z_1^2}\right)\frac{\bar z_1^b}{\sqrt{t\bar z_1^2}}\,,\\
\bar V(\bar z_2) &= \bar V_b\bar z_2^b = \frac{z_1^a}{\sqrt{tz_1^2}}\left(\delta_{ab}-\frac{\bar z_{1a}\bar z_{1b}}{\bar z_1^2}\right)\bar z_2^b\,,\\
T(z_2,z_2) &= z_2^{a_1}z_2^{a_2}T_{a_1a_2} = z_2^{a_1}z_2^{a_2}\left(\delta_{a_1a_2}-\frac{z_{1a_1}z_{1a_2}}{z_1^2}\right)\,,\\
\bar T(\bar z_2,\bar z_2) &= \bar T_{b_1b_2}\bar z_2^{b_1}\bar z_2^{b_2} = \left(\delta_{b_1b_2}-\frac{\bar z_{1b_1}\bar z_{1b_2}}{\bar z_1^2}\right)\bar z_2^{b_1}\bar z_2^{b_2}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

This construction ensures that the number of undetermined functions $f_s(t)$ is as small as possible. Note that the dependence of the building blocks on t is

$$\begin{aligned}
H(z_2,\bar z_2) &= \mathcal{O}(1)+\mathcal{O}(t^{-1})\,,\\
V(z_2),\bar V(\bar z_2) &= \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac12})+\mathcal{O}(t^{\frac12})\,,\\
T(z_2,z_2),\bar T(\bar z_2,\bar z_2) &= \mathcal{O}(1)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

The individual terms in the sum of (2.14) can have negative powers of t, however any such terms must cancel in the sum. The building blocks were chosen such that the $f_s(t)$ are polynomials of minimal degree, i.e. they do not have overall factors of t. This can be demonstrated by considering the example $\lambda = (l_1, 1)$, and using that $\pi_\lambda(z_1, z_2, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2)$ must be a polynomial in products of $z_1, z_2, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2$, that is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi_{(l_1,1)}(z_1, z_2, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2) \propto \left(z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2\right)^{\frac{l_1}{2}} \left(f_1(t) H(z_2, \bar{z}_2) + f_2(t) V(z_2) \bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)\right) \\
&= \left(z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2\right)^{\frac{l_1}{2}} (z_2 \cdot \bar{z}_2)\, f_1(t) \\
&- \left(z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2\right)^{\frac{l_1-1}{2}} (z_1 \cdot \bar{z}_2)\,(z_2 \cdot \bar{z}_1) \left(\frac{f_1(t) - f_2(t)}{t}\right) \\
&+ \left(z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2\right)^{\frac{l_1-2}{2}} \Big((z_1 \cdot z_2)(\bar{z}_1 \cdot \bar{z}_2)(z_1 \cdot \bar{z}_1) - (z_1 \cdot z_2)(z_1 \cdot \bar{z}_2)\bar{z}_1^2 - (\bar{z}_1 \cdot z_2)(\bar{z}_1 \cdot \bar{z}_2) z_1^2\Big) f_2(t)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

From the second, third and fourth line of this equation we conclude that

$$f_1(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{l_1} a_i t^i\,, \qquad \frac{f_1(t) - f_2(t)}{t} = \sum_{i=0}^{l_1-1} b_i t^i\,, \qquad f_2(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{l_1-2} c_i t^i\,, \tag{2.18}$$

respectively. Combining these three conditions yields for this case

$$f_1(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{l_1} a_i t^i\,, \qquad f_2(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{l_1-2} c_i t^i\,, \qquad a_0 = c_0\,. \tag{2.19}$$

Furthermore, both functions have to be even to avoid a square root in $z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2$.

These polynomials are determined by solving a coupled system of second order differential equations arising from the tracelessness conditions

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \pi_\lambda(z_1, z_2, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \pi_\lambda(z_1, z_2, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \pi_\lambda(z_1, z_2, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2) = 0\,. \tag{2.20}$$

After discussing a first example, we will describe the algorithm that is used to solve these equations in Section 2.2.3. Then the structures of the individual families of projectors will be presented. Finally, the overall normalization constants c_λ appearing in (2.14) will be computed in Section 2.4.

2.2.1 Birdtrack notation

To construct Young symmetrized structures Q_i it is convenient to use birdtrack notation, with lines denoting index contractions. Using multiple copies of the same vector results in a group of symmetric indices, which is denoted by a white bar



$$= z_{a_1} z_{a_2}\,, \tag{2.21}$$

while a black bar denotes antisymmetrization



$$= \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{a_1 b_1}\delta_{a_2 b_2} - \delta_{a_1 b_2}\delta_{a_2 b_1}\right). \tag{2.22}$$

The building blocks transverse to z_1^a and to $\bar{z}_1^b$ that were defined in (2.15) will be denoted by the following symbols

$$H_{ab} = a \text{ —— } b\,, \qquad \delta_{ab} = a \text{ — } b\,,$$
$$V_a = a \text{ —•} \,, \qquad \bar{V}_b = \text{•— } b\,, \tag{2.23}$$
$$T_{a_1a_2} = \begin{matrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{matrix} \supset\,, \qquad \bar{T}_{b_1b_2} = \subset \begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{matrix}.$$

The δ_{ab} was defined to indicate that short lines connecting $\sqsubset$ and $\dashv$ do not stand for H_{ab}. The notation should be clear after the first examples which are given both in birdtrack and explicit notation.

2.2.2 Projectors to the irreps $(l_1, 1)$

The projectors to $SO(d)$ irreps with Young diagrams of shape $\square\square\square\cdots\square$ were already derived in [42]. We include them here for completeness. The structures are

$$Q_1 = z_2 \text{ □——□ } \bar{z}_2 \quad = H(z_2, \bar{z}_2)\,,$$
$$Q_2 = z_2 \text{ □—• •—□ } \bar{z}_2 \quad = V(z_2)\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)\,. \tag{2.24}$$

Imposing the tracelessness conditions (2.20) results in many differential equations for the functions $f_1(t)$ and $f_2(t)$. For example the term proportional to $(z_1 \cdot z_2)(z_1 \cdot \bar{z}_2)$ in the condition $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \pi_\lambda(z_1, z_2, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2) = 0$ is

$$-(l_1 - 2)(l_1 + d) f_2(t) + (d + 3) t f_2'(t) + (t^2 - 1) f_2''(t) = 0\,. \tag{2.25}$$

This is the Gegenbauer differential equation, solved by $f_2(t) = -\partial_t^2 C_{l_1}^{\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)}(t) \propto C_{l_1-2}^{\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right)}(t)$. The full solution is

$$f_1(t) = (d-2) t \partial_t C_{l_1}^{\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)}(t) + (t^2 - 1)\partial_t^2 C_{l_1}^{\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)}(t)\,,$$
$$f_2(t) = -\partial_t^2 C_{l_1}^{\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)}(t)\,. \tag{2.26}$$

In the next section we describe the algorithm that was used to automatize the process of solving the differential equations in all other cases.

2.2.3 Algorithm for solving the differential equations

The algorithm for solving the systems of differential equations we encounter is based on the assumption that all polynomials $f_s(t)$ can be written as a finite sum of derivatives of the Gegenbauer polynomial $C_{l_1}^{\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)}(t)$, which will be denoted by

$$\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(n)}(t) \equiv \partial_t^n C_{l_1}^{\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)}(t) = 2^n (\tfrac{d}{2} - 1)_n C_{l_1-n}^{\left(\frac{d}{2}-1+n\right)}(t)\,, \tag{2.27}$$

with l_1-independent coefficients $w_{s,n}(d,t)$. That is, we write

$$f_s(t) = \sum_n w_{s,n}(d,t)\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(n)}(t)\,. \tag{2.28}$$

This assumption turns out to be true for all computed projectors, with the sum ranging from $n = l_2$ up to $n = 2l_2$. The overall normalization of all functions $f_s(t)$ in a projector will be chosen such that[2]

$$w_{1,2l_2}(d,t) = t^{2l_2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{2l_2-2})\,. \tag{2.29}$$

The independence on l_1 of the coefficients is relevant for the derivation of recursion relations for conformal blocks.

We will use the following relation, which is a version of the Gegenbauer differential equation for the Gegenbauer polynomial appearing explicitly in (2.27),

$$(l_1-n)(l_1+n+d-2)\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(n)}(t) = (d+2n-1)t\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(n+1)}(t) + (t^2-1)\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(n+2)}(t)\,. \tag{2.30}$$

Using this relation repeatedly on a polynomial of the form (2.28) of order l_1 or lower, one can remove all but the two highest derivatives and write it in the following way

$$f_s(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} u_{s,i}(d,l_1)t^i\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(N)}(t) + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} v_{s,j}(d,l_1)t^j\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(N-1)}(t)\,, \tag{2.31}$$

where N is the highest n appearing in the sum in (2.28). Plugging this ansatz into the differential equations that arise from demanding tracelessness results in a system of linear equations. In all cases discussed below, these linear systems have a unique nontrivial solution for $u_{s,i}(d,l_1)$ and $v_{s,j}(d,l_1)$, as long as one chooses N large enough. After finding the solution, (2.30) can again be used to bring the solution into a form with l_1-independent coefficients.

2.2.4 Projectors to the irreps $(l_1, 2)$

One can easily convince oneself that for Young diagrams of shape the possible combinations of the proposed building blocks are

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= z_2 \quad \bar{z}_2 = H(z_2,\bar{z}_2)^2\,,\\
Q_2 &= z_2 \quad \bar{z}_2 = H(z_2,\bar{z}_2)V(z_2)\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)\,,\\
Q_3 &= z_2 \quad \bar{z}_2 = V(z_2)^2\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)^2\,,\\
Q_4 &= z_2 \quad \bar{z}_2 = T(z_2,z_2)\bar{T}(\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_2)\,,\\
Q_5 &= z_2 \quad \bar{z}_2 + z_2 \quad \bar{z}_2 = T(z_2,z_2)\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)^2 + V(z_2)^2\bar{T}(\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_2)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

[2] The functions $f_1(t)$ are special in that the corresponding Q_1 are chosen to contain only H building blocks.

Using the algorithm for solving the tracelessness conditions one finds that the functions $f_i(t)$ can be expressed as [3]

$$f_i(t) = -\frac{\hat{f}_i(t)}{d-2}\,, \qquad \forall i = 1,\ldots,5\,, \tag{2.33}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}_1(t) &= (d-1)d\big(1-(d-2)t^2\big)\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t) - 2(d-2)dt\big(t^2-1\big)\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) - (d-2)\big(t^2-1\big)^2\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
\hat{f}_2(t) &= 2(d-1)d\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t) + 2(d-1)dt\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) + 2(d-2)\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
\hat{f}_3(t) &= (d-1)d\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t) + 2dt\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) + \left(2-d+t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
\hat{f}_4(t) &= d\left(-2+(d-1)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t) + 2dt\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) + \left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
\hat{f}_5(t) &= (d-1)dt\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t) + 2dt^2\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) + t\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

The projectors to the families of irreps $(l_1,3)$ and $(l_1,4)$ are given in Appendix C.

2.3 Projectors for Young diagrams with three rows

Next projectors to tensors corresponding to Young diagrams with three rows will be discussed. Such tensors can be encoded with three auxiliary vectors z_i $(i=1,2,3)$, hence for the projector we will consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi_\lambda(\{z_i,\bar{z}_i\}) \equiv \pi_\lambda(z_1,z_2,z_3,\bar{z}_1,\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_3) = \\
&z_1^{a_1}\ldots z_1^{a_{l_1}} z_2^{a_{l_1+1}}\ldots z_2^{a_{l_1+l_2}} z_3^{a_{l_1+l_2+1}}\ldots z_3^{a_{|\lambda|}}\pi_{\lambda\, a_1\ldots a_{|\lambda|},b_1\ldots b_{|\lambda|}}\bar{z}_1^{b_1}\ldots\bar{z}_1^{b_{l_1}}\bar{z}_2^{b_{l_1+1}}\ldots\bar{z}_2^{b_{l_1+l_2}}\bar{z}_3^{a_{l_1+l_2+1}}\ldots\bar{z}_3^{a_{|\lambda|}}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

The mixed-symmetry property amounts to relations such as (2.10), but now such relations hold between any two of the three sets of symmetrized indices. With the same argument as in the case of two-row Young diagrams, this property can be imposed on π_λ by requiring

$$\left(z_1\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\right)\pi_\lambda(\{z_i,\bar{z}_i\}) = \left(z_1\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\right)\pi_\lambda(\{z_i,\bar{z}_i\}) = \left(z_2\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\right)\pi_\lambda(\{z_i,\bar{z}_i\}) = 0\,. \tag{2.36}$$

The first two conditions can again be implemented by using the building blocks defined in (2.15), now also allowing $z_3,\bar{z}_3$ in the place of $z_2,\bar{z}_2$. The third condition can be implemented by Young symmetrizing in z_2 and z_3 (and $\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_3$). As before, this leads to the following ansatz separating structures depending on $z_2,z_3,\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_3$ and functions of t

$$\pi_\lambda(\{z_i,\bar{z}_i\}) = c_\lambda\left(z_1^2\bar{z}_1^2\right)^{\frac{l_1}{2}}\sum_s f_s(t)Q_s(z_1,z_2,z_3,\bar{z}_1,\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_3)\,. \tag{2.37}$$

Tracelessness now amounts to the old equations (2.20) and to the three new ones

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\pi_\lambda(\{z_i,\bar{z}_i\}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\pi_\lambda(\{z_i,\bar{z}_i\}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\pi_\lambda(\{z_i,\bar{z}_i\}) = 0\,. \tag{2.38}$$

[3] Note that the overall denominator introduced in the first line is required for our normalization (2.29).

2.3.1 Projectors to the irreps $(l_1, 1, 1)$

For Young diagrams of shape there are only two possible structures, due to the antisymmetry between z_2, z_3 and $\bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_3$,



$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= \frac{1}{2}\Big(H(z_2,\bar{z}_2)H(z_3,\bar{z}_3) - H(z_2,\bar{z}_3)H(z_3,\bar{z}_2)\Big)\,,\\
Q_2 &= \frac{1}{4}\Big(H(z_2,\bar{z}_2)V(z_3)\bar{V}(\bar{z}_3) - H(z_2,\bar{z}_3)V(z_3)\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)\\
&\quad - H(z_3,\bar{z}_2)V(z_2)\bar{V}(\bar{z}_3) + H(z_3,\bar{z}_3)V(z_2)\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)\Big)\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.39}
$$

The resulting functions $f_i(t)$ are similar as in the case of irreps in (2.26),

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_1(t) &= (d-3)t\mathcal{C}^{(1)}_{l_1}(t) + \left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
f_2(t) &= -2\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t)\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.40}
$$

2.3.2 Projectors to the irreps $(l_1, 2, 1)$

Starting with this example, whose Young diagrams have shape  , it becomes helpful to consider some tensor products to make sure that one finds the correct number of structures. As explained above, the allowed structures are constructed from the building blocks in (2.15), including also the dependence on $z_3, \bar{z}_3$ in the place of $z_2, \bar{z}_2$. In order to satisfy the condition $\left(z_2 \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\right)Q_i = 0$ of (2.36) it is enough to Young symmetrize in z_2 and z_3 according to the Young diagram $(l_2, l_3) = (2, 1)$. In the case at hand that means to consider



$$
= z_2^{a_1} z_2^{b_1} z_3^{b_2} \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta^{b_1 a_2}\delta^{b_2 a_3} - \delta^{b_1 a_3}\delta^{b_2 a_2}\right). \tag{2.41}
$$

Although this expression is a mixed-symmetry tensor, it is not traceless, hence it is in the reducible representation



$$
\tag{2.42}
$$

The only way to contract the building block $T_{a_1 a_2}$ to expression (2.41) is



$$
\tag{2.43}
$$

This term contains a contraction with the primitive $SO(d)$ invariant $\delta_{a_1 a_2}$. Thus, the number of possible contractions with $T_{a_1 a_2}$ is the same as with $\delta_{a_1 a_2}$. We conclude that the number of independent contractions of (2.41) to the corresponding expression with $\bar{z}_2$, $\bar{z}_3$, with H and T building blocks in the middle, is given by the multiplicity of the scalar representation $\bullet$ in the $SO(d)$ tensor product[4]

$$
\tag{2.44}
$$

[4] All $SO(d)$ tensor products in this work are done assuming that d is sufficiently large to make the tensor product d independent, see [45].

Since one can also use multiple copies of the building blocks V and $\bar{V}$, which form a symmetric representation, one can then take a further tensor product with a one-row Young diagram of any length. Thus, the total number of birdtracks that one has to consider is the multiplicity of the scalar representation in the tensor product



(2.45)

Two of these eight birdtracks are combined into a single structure (Q_7 below), when requiring the building blocks to respect the left-right symmetry $z_i \leftrightarrow \bar{z}_i$. The resulting structures are



(2.46)

It can be helpful to make a correspondence between the irreps in the tensor product (2.45) and the expressions (2.41) and (2.43)

(2.47)

This is not a precise matching, for instance (2.41) is not traceless, however it can be helpful to find a set of independent structures such as (2.46). Using this correspondence one can map the structures to individual contributions in the tensor product (2.45) as follows



(2.48)

The dots here indicate Young diagrams with more than one row, which cannot contribute to the multiplicity of the scalar representation in (2.45). The solution of the tracelessness conditions can then be written as

$$f_i(t) = -\frac{\hat{f}_i(t)}{d-3}\,, \qquad \forall i = 1, \ldots, 7\,, \tag{2.49}$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}_1(t) =& -(d-2)d\left(-1+(d-3)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t) - (d-3)(2d-1)t\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) \\
& -(d-3)\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_2(t) =& \, 2(d-2)d\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t) + 2\big(-5+(d-1)d\big)t\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) + 2(d-3)\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_3(t) =& \, (d-2)d\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t) + \big(7+(d-4)d\big)t\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) + (d-3)\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_4(t) =& \, 2(d-2)d\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t) + 2(2d-1)t\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) + 2\left(3-d+t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_5(t) =& \, 2d\left(-2+(d-2)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t) + 2(2d-1)t\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) + 2\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_6(t) =& -4d\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t) - 2(3+d)t\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) + \left(2-2t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_7(t) =& \, 2(d-2)dt\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t) + 2(2d-1)t^2\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) + 2t\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.50}
$$

2.3.3 Projectors to the irreps $(l_1, 2, 2)$

The tensor structures for projectors to irreps are constructed with the reducible representation for the shape , given by



(2.51)

Possible contractions with $T_{a_1 a_2}$ are



(2.52)

Hence the number of birdtracks to consider is given by



(2.53)

The individual contributions are



(2.54)

with

$Q_1 =$  , $Q_2 =$  , $Q_3 =$  ,

$Q_4 =$  , $Q_5 =$  , $Q_6 =$  ,

$Q_7 =$  , $Q_8 =$  ,

$Q_9 =$  . (2.55)

In this case the functions $f_i(t)$ can be written as

$$f_i(t) = -\frac{\hat{f}_i(t)}{(d-4)(d-3)}\,, \qquad \forall i = 1,\ldots,9\,, \tag{2.56}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}_1(t) =& -(d-3)_3\left(-1+(d-4)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t) - 2(d-4)_2(d-1)t\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t)\\
&-(d-4)_2\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
\hat{f}_2(t) =& \,4(d-3)_3\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t) + 4(d-3)^2(d-1)t\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) + 4(d-4)_2\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
\hat{f}_3(t) =& \,4(d-3)_3\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t) + 8(d-3)(d-1)t\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) + 4(d-3)\left(4-d+t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
\hat{f}_4(t) =& \,4(d-2)(d-1)\left(-2+(d-3)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t) + 8(d-3)(d-1)t\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t)\\
&+4(d-3)\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
\hat{f}_5(t) =& -16(d-2)(d-1)\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t) - 8(d-1)^2t\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) - 8(d-3)\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
\hat{f}_6(t) =& -8(d-2)(d-1)\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t) - 16(d-1)t\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) + 4\left(-3+d-2t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
\hat{f}_7(t) =& -2(d-1)\left(-3+(d-2)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t) - 4(d-1)t\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) - 2\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
\hat{f}_8(t) =& \,4(d-3)_3t\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t) + 8(d-3)(d-1)t^2\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) + 4(d-3)t\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
\hat{f}_9(t) =& -4(d-2)(d-1)t\mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{l_1}(t) - 8(d-1)t^2\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) - 4t\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.57}$$

The projector to the family of irreps $(l_1, 3, 1)$ is given in Appendix C.

2.4 Normalization of the projectors

The normalizations can be computed by using that the projectors have a term with a known normalization, namely the term projecting to generic mixed-symmetry tensors, from which

the traces are subtracted by further terms. This is the only term in $\pi_\lambda(z_1, z_2, z_3, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_3)$ containing a factor $(z_1 \cdot \bar{z}_1)^{l_1}(z_2 \cdot \bar{z}_2)^{l_2}(z_3 \cdot \bar{z}_3)^{l_3}$. For concreteness let us consider the Young diagram $\lambda =$  . In this section all lines in birdtracks denote just simple contractions, without any of the definitions of (2.23)

$$\pi_\lambda(z_1, z_2, z_3, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_3) = n_\lambda$$ 

$$+ \text{ trace subtractions.} \tag{2.58}$$

The antisymmetrizations appearing here are defined as



$$\tag{2.59}$$

and the normalization of the first term is [46]

$$n_\lambda = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{l_1} h_i! \prod_{j=1}^{h_1} l_j!}{H(\lambda)}\,, \qquad H(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{l_1}\prod_{j=1}^{h_i}(l_j - i + h_i - j + 1)\,, \tag{2.60}$$

where h_i is the height of the ith column of the Young diagram λ. Using the identity



$$\tag{2.61}$$

on both antisymmetrizations in (2.58), one can isolate the terms containing $(z_1 \cdot \bar{z}_1)^{l_1}$,



$$= \frac{1}{3\cdot 2}(z_1 \cdot \bar{z}_1)^{l_1} Q_1(z_2, z_3, \bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_3) + \mathcal{O}\big((z_1 \cdot \bar{z}_1)^{l_1-1}\big)\,. \tag{2.62}$$

In general this factor $3 \cdot 2$ will be $\prod_{i=1}^{l_1} h_i$ and the part of the birdtrack with $z_2, z_3, \bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_3$ always matches the z_1 and $\bar{z}_1$ independent part of $Q_1(z_2, z_3, \bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_3)$. Comparing to (2.37) this means that the normalization constant appearing in the projectors is

$$c_\lambda = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{l_1}(h_i - 1)! \prod_{j=1}^{h_1} l_j!}{b_\lambda H(\lambda)}\,, \tag{2.63}$$

where b_λ is the coefficient of t^{l_1} in $f_1(t)$,

$$f_1(t) = b_\lambda t^{l_1} + \mathcal{O}(t^{l_1-2})\,, \tag{2.64}$$

and can be easily computed in each case using

$$C_n^{(\epsilon)}(t) = \frac{(\epsilon)_n}{n!}(2t)^n + \mathcal{O}(t^{n-2})\,. \tag{2.65}$$

Doing this for each family of projectors one finds

$$b_\lambda = \frac{2^{l_1}(\frac{d}{2}-1)_{l_1}\prod_{i=1}^{l_2}(l_1+d-h_i+i-2)}{(l_1-l_2)!}\,. \tag{2.66}$$

3 Recursion relations for seed conformal blocks

In this section recursion relations in l_1 will be read off from the integral representation of conformal blocks in the shadow formalism. The recursion relations are based on the observation that the projectors π_λ, as computed in the previous section, are linear combinations of just a few (at most l_2+1) different Gegenbauer polynomials,

$$\pi_\lambda(z_1,z_2,z_3,\bar{z}_1,\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_3) = c_\lambda\left(z_1^2\bar{z}_1^2\right)^{\frac{l_1}{2}}\sum_{n=l_2}^{\min(l_1,2l_2)} K_n^\lambda(z_1,z_2,z_3,\bar{z}_1,\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_3)\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(n)}(t)\,, \tag{3.1}$$

where $K_n^\lambda(z_1,z_2,z_3,\bar{z}_1,\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_3)$ does not depend on l_1, and the sum stops at $\min(l_1,2l_2)$ since $\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(n)}(t)=0$ for $n>l_1$. We showed this for the projectors to the irreps that can appear in conformal blocks of four stress-tensors by explicit computation. This fact can be used to turn the recursion relation for the Gegenbauer polynomials

$$(l_1-n)\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(n)}(t) = (2l_1+d-4)t\mathcal{C}_{l_1-1}^{(n)}(t) - (l_1+n+d-4)\mathcal{C}_{l_1-2}^{(n)}(t)\,, \tag{3.2}$$

into recursion relations for the conformal blocks.

3.1 Classification of seed conformal blocks

We will focus on conformal blocks that are unique given the irreps of the external and exchanged operators. These will be called *seed conformal blocks*. The origin of this terminology is the fact that conformal blocks involving three-point functions with multiple tensor structures can be derived from these seed blocks by acting with differential operators, using the method of [35].

Uniqueness of a conformal block exchanging the irrep $\lambda=(l_1,l_2,\ldots)$ in the channel $\lambda_1\lambda_2\to\lambda_3\lambda_4$, means that the three-point functions $\langle\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda\rangle$ and $\langle\lambda\lambda_3\lambda_4\rangle$ both have a single OPE coefficient. For such combinations of irreps the tensor product contains only one symmetric tensor (or as special cases one scalar or vector), with multiplicity one

$$\lambda_1\otimes\lambda_2\otimes\lambda = \square\!\square\!\square\!\cdots\!\square\!\square \oplus \text{diagrams with } h_1>1\,, \tag{3.3}$$

and similar for λ_3, λ_4. The most trivial case is when λ_1 and λ_2 are scalars, and λ is a symmetric tensor. We will consider the case when λ_1 and λ_2 are symmetric tensors, and λ is a mixed-symmetry tensor. In this case the lower rows of λ, which will be denoted by the Young diagram $\lambda^- = (l_2, l_3, \ldots)$, must be removed by contraction to λ_1 and λ_2. To understand this better let us consider three cases.

1. $|\lambda_1| + |\lambda_2| < |\lambda^-|$. λ_1 and λ_2 do not have enough indices to remove all lower rows of λ. There is no solution to (3.3).

2. $|\lambda_1| + |\lambda_2| = |\lambda^-|$. If λ^- appears in the tensor product of λ_1 and λ_2 with multiplicity one, then (3.3) is satisfied and the symmetric tensor on the right hand side is of rank l_1.

3. $|\lambda_1| + |\lambda_2| > |\lambda^-|$. If there are symmetric tensors in the tensor product (3.3), then there are more than one. Consider for example $|\lambda_1| + |\lambda_2| = |\lambda^-| + 1$. After removing the lower rows of λ, there is still a tensor product of $\square$ and the remaining first row of λ, hence there will be symmetric tensors of rank $l_1 - 1$ and $l_1 + 1$.

Hence the second case is the only relevant one, leading to the necessary condition for seed conformal blocks (for λ_1, λ_2, λ_3, λ_4 being symmetric tensors)

$$l_1 = |\lambda| - |\lambda_1| - |\lambda_2| = |\lambda| - |\lambda_3| - |\lambda_4| \,. \tag{3.4}$$

To see this condition in action one can consider the OPE. For example, the OPE of two vector operators has only a single term in the irreps $\lambda = (l_1, 2)$ or $\lambda = (l_1, 1, 1)$, both of the form

$$\mathcal{O}^b_{\Delta_1,\square}(x_1)\mathcal{O}^c_{\Delta_2,\square}(x_2) \underset{x_{12}\to 0}{\sim} \frac{(x_{12})_{a_1}\ldots(x_{12})_{a_{l_1}}\delta^b_{a_{l_1+1}}\delta^c_{a_{l_1+2}}}{|x_{12}|^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta+l_1}}\,\mathcal{O}^{a_1\ldots a_{|\lambda|}}_{\Delta,\lambda}(x_1) + \ldots \,. \tag{3.5}$$

3.2 Three-point functions

We will use the embedding formalism and the methods to construct correlators of [45, 47]. The embedding space is $d+2$ dimensional Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{d+1,1}$, where the conformal group $SO(d+1,1)$ acts linearly. Capital letters will denote vectors in this space $P_i, Z_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1,1}$. The second label on Z_{ij} labels the different vectors required to encode mixed-symmetry tensors, e.g. (z_1, z_2, z_3) from the previous section could be replaced by (Z_{01}, Z_{02}, Z_{03}) in embedding space. Contractions of tensors can be written using derivatives acting on vectors

$$\delta^{(A_1}_{B_1}\ldots\delta^{A_{l_1})}_{B_{l_1}} = \frac{1}{l_1!}\partial^{A_1}_{Z_{i1}}\ldots\partial^{A_{l_1}}_{Z_{i1}}Z_{i1\,B_1}\ldots Z_{i1\,B_{l_1}} \,. \tag{3.6}$$

Furthermore, boldface letters indicate a set of vectors that are used to encode a mixed-symmetry tensor and the corresponding sets of derivatives, normalized to include the factorial appearing in (3.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}_i &= (Z_{i1}, Z_{i2}, \ldots)\,, \\ \boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathbf{Z}_i} &= \left((l_1!)^{-1/l_1}\partial_{Z_{i1}}, (l_2!)^{-1/l_2}\partial_{Z_{i2}}, \ldots\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

It is convenient to consider polynomials that *encode* the correlators without fully implementing the symmetry and tracelessness of the operators. The *full* correlators can then be obtained by projecting

$$\begin{aligned}\big\langle \mathcal{O}_1(P_1,\mathbf{Z}_1)\mathcal{O}_2(P_2,\mathbf{Z}_2)\mathcal{O}(P_0,\mathbf{Z}_0)\big\rangle_{\text{full}} = \pi_{\lambda_1}(\mathbf{Z}_1,\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_1})\,\pi_{\lambda_2}(\mathbf{Z}_2,\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_2})\,\pi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{Z}_0,\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_0})\\ \big\langle \mathcal{O}_1(P_1,\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_1)\mathcal{O}_2(P_2,\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_2)\mathcal{O}(P_0,\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_0)\big\rangle_{\text{enc}}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Throughout the paper, the external operators will mostly be symmetric traceless tensors, which we will encode by a single null vector instead of projecting to a traceless tensor. Instead of (3.8) we will thus use

$$\big\langle \mathcal{O}_1(P_1,Z_1)\mathcal{O}_2(P_2,Z_2)\mathcal{O}(P_0,\mathbf{Z}_0)\big\rangle = \pi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{Z}_0,\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_0})\big\langle \mathcal{O}_1(P_1,Z_1)\mathcal{O}_2(P_2,Z_2)\mathcal{O}(P_0,\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_0)\big\rangle_{\text{enc}}\,, \tag{3.9}$$

where $Z_1^2 = Z_2^2 = 0$ will always be implied. When considering conformal blocks for external operators that are either scalars, currents or stress-tensors, the three-point functions with a single tensor structure are encoded by

$$\big\langle \mathcal{O}_1\big(P_1,Z_1\big)\mathcal{O}_2\big(P_2,Z_2\big)\mathcal{O}\big(P_0,\mathbf{Z}_0\big)\big\rangle_{\text{enc}} = \frac{\Big(V_{0,12}^{(Z_{01})}\Big)^{l_1}\, N_{120}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda}(Z_{02},Z_{03})}{(P_{12})^{\frac{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta}{2}}(P_{20})^{\frac{\Delta_2+\Delta-\Delta_1}{2}}(P_{01})^{\frac{\Delta+\Delta_1-\Delta_2}{2}}}\,, \tag{3.10}$$

where $P_{ij} = -2P_i\cdot P_j$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{120}^{\bullet\bullet\,\square\square\cdots\square} &= 1\,,\\
N_{120}^{\square\bullet\,\square\cdots\square}(Z_{02}) &= H_{10}^{(Z_1,Z_{02})}\,,\\
N_{120}^{\square\square\bullet\,\square\cdots\square}(Z_{02}) &= \Big(H_{10}^{(Z_1,Z_{02})}\Big)^2\,,\\
N_{120}^{\square\square\,\square\square\cdots\square}(Z_{02}) &= H_{10}^{(Z_1,Z_{02})}H_{20}^{(Z_2,Z_{02})}\,,\\
N_{120}^{\square\square\,\square\cdots\square}(Z_{02},Z_{03}) &= H_{10}^{(Z_1,Z_{02})}H_{20}^{(Z_2,Z_{03})}\,,\\
N_{120}^{\square\square\,\square\square\cdots\square}(Z_{02}) &= \Big(H_{10}^{(Z_1,Z_{02})}\Big)^2 H_{20}^{(Z_2,Z_{02})}\,,\\
N_{120}^{\square\square\,\square\cdots\square}(Z_{02},Z_{03}) &= \Big(H_{10}^{(Z_1,Z_{02})}\Big)^2 H_{20}^{(Z_2,Z_{03})}\,,\\
N_{120}^{\square\square\,\square\square\cdots\square}(Z_{02}) &= \Big(H_{10}^{(Z_1,Z_{02})}\Big)^2\Big(H_{20}^{(Z_2,Z_{02})}\Big)^2\,,\\
N_{120}^{\square\square\,\square\cdots\square}(Z_{02},Z_{03}) &= \Big(H_{10}^{(Z_1,Z_{02})}\Big)^2 H_{20}^{(Z_2,Z_{02})}H_{20}^{(Z_2,Z_{03})}\,,\\
N_{120}^{\square\square\,\square\square\cdots\square}(Z_{02},Z_{03}) &= \Big(H_{10}^{(Z_1,Z_{02})}\Big)^2\Big(H_{20}^{(Z_2,Z_{03})}\Big)^2\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{ij}^{(Z_i,Z_j)} &= \frac{\big(Z_i\cdot Z_j\big)\big(P_i\cdot P_j\big)-\big(P_j\cdot Z_i\big)\big(P_i\cdot Z_j\big)}{P_i\cdot P_j}\,,\\
V_{i,jk}^{(Z)} &= \frac{\big(P_j\cdot Z\big)\big(P_i\cdot P_k\big)-\big(P_j\cdot P_i\big)\big(Z\cdot P_k\big)}{\sqrt{-2\big(P_i\cdot P_j\big)\big(P_i\cdot P_k\big)\big(P_j\cdot P_k\big)}}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

3.3 Recursion relations from the shadow formalism

Next we recall the formula for the conformal partial wave in the shadow formalism (an overview of the formalism in the case of mixed-symmetry tensors can be found in Section 5 of [45]). When using the three-point functions defined above, the exchanged representation must be projected to an irreducible representation

$$W^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}_{\Delta,\lambda} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}_{\tilde{\Delta}\Delta_{34}}} \int D^d P_0 \, \langle \mathcal{O}_1(P_1,Z_1)\mathcal{O}_2(P_2,Z_2)\mathcal{O}(P_0,\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathbf{Z}_0})\rangle_{\text{enc}} \\ \pi_\lambda(\mathbf{Z}_0,\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_0})\langle \mathcal{O}_3(P_3;Z_3)\mathcal{O}_4(P_4;Z_4)\mathcal{O}(P_0,\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_0)\rangle_{\text{enc}}\Big|_{\Delta\to\tilde{\Delta}} . \tag{3.13}$$

Here $\tilde{\Delta} = d-\Delta$ is the conformal dimension of the shadow operator $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}_{\tilde{\Delta}\Delta_{34}} = \mathcal{S}^{\lambda}_{\Delta\Delta_{34}}|_{\Delta\to\tilde{\Delta}}$ is the constant that occurs when an operator in a three-point function is replaced by its shadow

$$\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}_{\Delta\Delta_{34}} = \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_3(P_3;\mathbf{Z}_3)\mathcal{O}_4(P_4;\mathbf{Z}_4)\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(P_0;\mathbf{Z}_0)\rangle}{\langle \mathcal{O}_3(P_3;\mathbf{Z}_3)\mathcal{O}_4(P_4;\mathbf{Z}_4)\mathcal{O}(P_0;\mathbf{Z}_0)\rangle|_{\Delta\to\tilde{\Delta}}} . \tag{3.14}$$

It is computed in Appendix D for any three-point function that can appear in a seed conformal block, with the result

$$\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}_{\tilde{\Delta}\Delta_{34}} = \pi^{d/2}\prod_{i=1}^{l_1}\big(\tilde{\Delta}-h_i+i-1\big)\,\frac{\Gamma\big(\tilde{\Delta}-\frac{d}{2}\big)\Gamma\big(\frac{1}{2}(\Delta+\Delta_{34}+l_1)\big)\Gamma\big(\frac{1}{2}(\Delta-\Delta_{34}+l_1)\big)}{\Gamma(\Delta+l_1)\Gamma\big(\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\Delta}+\Delta_{34}+l_1)\big)\Gamma\big(\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\Delta}-\Delta_{34}+l_1)\big)} . \tag{3.15}$$

We start by inserting the expressions for the three-point functions (3.10) into (3.13), to find

$$W^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}_{\Delta,\lambda} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}_{\tilde{\Delta}\Delta_{34}}(l_2!l_3!)^2} \\ \int D^d P_0 \frac{N^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda}_{120}(\partial_{Z_{02}},\partial_{Z_{03}})\,\pi_\lambda\Big(\partial_{Z_{01}}V^{(Z_{01})}_{0,12},Z_{02},Z_{03},\partial_{\bar{Z}_{01}}V^{(\bar{Z}_{01})}_{0,34},\partial_{\bar{Z}_{02}},\partial_{\bar{Z}_{03}}\Big)N^{\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda}_{340}(\bar{Z}_{02},\bar{Z}_{03})}{(P_{01})^{\frac{\Delta+\Delta_{12}}{2}}(P_{20})^{\frac{\Delta-\Delta_{12}}{2}}(P_{03})^{\frac{\tilde{\Delta}+\Delta_{34}}{2}}(P_{40})^{\frac{\tilde{\Delta}-\Delta_{34}}{2}}} . \tag{3.16}$$

Next we wish to insert the expression (3.1) for the projector π_λ. The factor $\big(z_1^2\bar{z}_1^2\big)^{\frac{l_1}{2}}$ in (3.1) is equal to 1 due to $\Big(\partial_{Z_{01}}V^{(Z_{01})}_{0,12}\Big)^2 = \Big(\partial_{\bar{Z}_{01}}V^{(\bar{Z}_{01})}_{0,34}\Big)^2 = 1$. Since the factors $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}_{\tilde{\Delta}\Delta_{34}}$ appearing in (3.16) and c_λ in (3.1) depend on l_1, it is convenient to use the following normalization for the conformal blocks [5]

$$G^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}_{\Delta,\lambda} = \frac{\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}_{\tilde{\Delta}\Delta_{34}}}{c_\lambda}(P_{12})^{\frac{\Delta}{2}}(P_{34})^{\frac{\tilde{\Delta}}{2}}\left(\frac{P_{14}}{P_{24}}\right)^{\frac{\Delta_{12}}{2}}\left(\frac{P_{13}}{P_{14}}\right)^{\frac{\Delta_{34}}{2}} W^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}_{\Delta,\lambda} . \tag{3.17}$$

[5] As explained in [39], to obtain the conformal block one needs to perform a monodromy projection of the integral (3.13). This is assumed but not written explicitly because it is not important for the present discussion.

By inserting (3.1) into (3.16) we can now write the conformal block as a sum of functions that only depend on a single Gegenbauer polynomial each,

$$G_{\Delta,\lambda}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4} = \sum_{n=l_2}^{\min(l_1,2l_2)} F_{\Delta,\lambda,n}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}(\Delta_{12},\Delta_{34})\,, \tag{3.18}$$

which are defined as

$$F_{\Delta,\lambda,n}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}(\Delta_{12},\Delta_{34}) = \frac{1}{(l_2!l_3!)^2}(P_{12})^{\frac{\Delta}{2}}(P_{34})^{\frac{\tilde{\Delta}}{2}}\left(\frac{P_{14}}{P_{24}}\right)^{\frac{\Delta_{12}}{2}}\left(\frac{P_{13}}{P_{14}}\right)^{\frac{\Delta_{34}}{2}}\int D^dP_0 \tag{3.19}$$
$$\frac{N_{120}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda}(\partial_{Z_{02}},\partial_{Z_{03}})\,K_n^{\lambda}\Big(\partial_{Z_{01}}V_{0,12}^{(Z_{01})},Z_{02},Z_{03},\partial_{\bar{Z}_{01}}V_{0,34}^{(\bar{Z}_{01})},\partial_{\bar{Z}_{02}},\partial_{\bar{Z}_{03}}\Big)N_{340}^{\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda}(\bar{Z}_{02},\bar{Z}_{03})\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(n)}(t)}{(P_{01})^{\frac{\Delta+\Delta_{12}}{2}}(P_{20})^{\frac{\Delta-\Delta_{12}}{2}}(P_{03})^{\frac{\tilde{\Delta}+\Delta_{34}}{2}}(P_{40})^{\frac{\tilde{\Delta}-\Delta_{34}}{2}}}\,,$$

where

$$t = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{P_{12}P_{34}}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{P_{02}P_{03}}{P_{01}P_{04}}}P_{14} - \sqrt{\frac{P_{02}P_{04}}{P_{01}P_{03}}}P_{13} - \sqrt{\frac{P_{01}P_{03}}{P_{02}P_{04}}}P_{24} + \sqrt{\frac{P_{01}P_{04}}{P_{02}P_{03}}}P_{23}\right). \tag{3.20}$$

The Gegenbauer recursion relation (3.2) implies the following recursion relations for each of these functions

$$\begin{aligned}
&(l_1-n)F_{\Delta,(l_1,l_2,l_3),n}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}(\Delta_{12},\Delta_{34}) = \\
&\left(l_1+\tfrac{d}{2}-2\right)u^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Big(F_{\Delta,(l_1-1,l_2,l_3),n}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}(\Delta_{12}+1,\Delta_{34}-1) - F_{\Delta,(l_1-1,l_2,l_3),n}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}(\Delta_{12}+1,\Delta_{34}+1) \\
&\qquad\qquad - F_{\Delta,(l_1-1,l_2,l_3),n}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}(\Delta_{12}-1,\Delta_{34}-1) + vF_{\Delta,(l_1-1,l_2,l_3),n}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}(\Delta_{12}-1,\Delta_{34}+1)\Big) \\
&-(l_1+n+d-4)F_{\Delta,(l_1-2,l_2,l_3),n}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}(\Delta_{12},\Delta_{34})\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

where $u = \frac{P_{12}P_{34}}{P_{13}P_{24}}, v = \frac{P_{14}P_{23}}{P_{13}P_{24}}$ are the conformal cross-ratios. To use the recursion relations, it is only necessary to know the conformal blocks for $l_1 = l_2$ up to $l_1 = 2l_2$ as seeds. Once these are known, one can forget about the conformal integrals that were used for the derivation of the recursion relations and even the precise form of the functions K_n^{λ}. Any family of conformal blocks can be mapped to the functions $F_{\Delta,\lambda,i}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}$ using that

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\Delta,(l_2,l_2,l_3)}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4} &= F_{\Delta,(l_2,l_2,l_3),l_2}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}, \\
G_{\Delta,(l_2+1,l_2,l_3)}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4} &= F_{\Delta,(l_2+1,l_2,l_3),l_2}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4} + F_{\Delta,(l_2+1,l_2,l_3),l_2+1}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}, \\
G_{\Delta,(l_2+2,l_2,l_3)}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4} &= F_{\Delta,(l_2+2,l_2,l_3),l_2}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4} + F_{\Delta,(l_2+2,l_2,l_3),l_2+1}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4} + F_{\Delta,(l_2+2,l_2,l_3),l_2+2}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}, \\
&\vdots
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Hence the conformal blocks for $l_1 = l_2, \ldots, 2l_2$ can be used in conjunction with the recursion relation to compute the seeds

$$F_{\Delta,(n,l_2,l_3),n}\,, \qquad n = l_2, \ldots, 2l_2\,. \tag{3.23}$$

For example, the conformal blocks for exchange of $\square$ and $\square\square$ act as seeds for the family $\square\square\square\cdots\square$ in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} G^{\square\bullet\square\bullet}_{\Delta,(1,1)} &= F^{\square\bullet\square\bullet}_{\Delta,(1,1),1} \\ &\downarrow \\ G^{\square\bullet\square\bullet}_{\Delta,(2,1)} &= F^{\square\bullet\square\bullet}_{\Delta,(2,1),1} + F^{\square\bullet\square\bullet}_{\Delta,(2,1),2} \\ &\downarrow \qquad\quad \downarrow \\ G^{\square\bullet\square\bullet}_{\Delta,(3,1)} &= F^{\square\bullet\square\bullet}_{\Delta,(3,1),1} + F^{\square\bullet\square\bullet}_{\Delta,(3,1),2} \\ &\vdots \qquad\quad \vdots \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

The recursion relation (3.21) allows us to move down along the arrows. Note that in order for the conformal blocks to satisfy the stated recursion relations, it is crucial that their normalization depends on l_1, Δ_{12} and Δ_{34} as defined in (3.17). A good method to compare normalizations for conformal blocks obtained via different methods is to consider the OPE limit, which is done for the partial wave (3.13) in Appendix E.

3.4 Solution of the recursion relation in terms of scalar conformal blocks

For $n = 0$ the recursion relation (3.21) is equivalent to the one for scalar conformal blocks that was solved for $d = 2, 4$ in [40]. The new parameter n can be removed from the prefactors by using the variables $l_1' = l_1 - n$ and $d' = d + 2n$,

$$\begin{aligned} &l_1' F^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}_{\Delta,(l_1,l_2,l_3),n}(\Delta_{12},\Delta_{34}) = \\ &\left(l_1' + \tfrac{d'}{2} - 2\right) u^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Big(F^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}_{\Delta,(l_1-1,l_2,l_3),n}(\Delta_{12}+1,\Delta_{34}-1) - F^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}_{\Delta,(l_1-1,l_2,l_3),n}(\Delta_{12}+1,\Delta_{34}+1) \\ &\qquad\qquad - F^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}_{\Delta,(l_1-1,l_2,l_3),n}(\Delta_{12}-1,\Delta_{34}-1) + v F^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}_{\Delta,(l_1-1,l_2,l_3),n}(\Delta_{12}-1,\Delta_{34}+1) \Big) \\ &- \left(l_1' + d' - 4\right) F^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}_{\Delta,(l_1-2,l_2,l_3),n}(\Delta_{12},\Delta_{34})\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

This implies that the scalar conformal block in d' dimensions

$$G^{\Delta_{12},\Delta_{34}}_{\Delta,l_1',d'}(u,v) \equiv G^{\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet}_{\Delta,(l_1')} \text{ in } d' \text{ dimensions}\,, \tag{3.26}$$

is a solution of the recurrence relation for seed conformal blocks. Maybe this can be used to solve the recursion relations in terms of known functions. While we do not know if this is actually possible, assume for a moment that the seeds for the recursion relation can be written in terms of scalar conformal blocks as

$$F_{\Delta,(n,l_2,l_3),n} = \sum_{i,j,k} f_{i,j,k} G^{\Delta_{12}+i,\Delta_{34}+j}_{\Delta+k,0,d+2n}(u,v)\,, \qquad n = l_2,\ldots,2l_2\,. \tag{3.27}$$

We allowed for arbitrary shifts i, j, k in the three parameters Δ_{12}, Δ_{34} and Δ and functions $f_{i,j,k}$ that do not influence the recursion relation, i.e. $f_{i,j,k}$ can depend on Δ, u, v and the

polarizations of external operators, but not on l_1, d, Δ_{12} or Δ_{34}. Then the result for arbitrary l_1 would be the same linear combination of scalar conformal blocks with appropriately increased spin l_1,

$$F_{\Delta,(l_1,l_2,l_3),n} = \sum_{i,j,k} f_{i,j,k} G^{\Delta_{12}+i,\Delta_{34}+j}_{\Delta+k,l_1-n,d+2n}(u,v)\,. \tag{3.28}$$

In [39, 45] the two initial blocks of the family $(l_1, 1)$ were computed explicitly in terms of conformal blocks with $l_1 = 0$ in higher dimensions, however the block $G^{\square\bullet\square\bullet}_{\Delta,(1,1)} = F_{\Delta,(1,1),1}$ was given in terms of scalar blocks in 8 dimensions instead of the $d' = 6$ required by (3.27). While the simple relation to scalar conformal blocks assumed in (3.27) might not be true, it is likely that the recursion relations should be solvable in terms of functions similar to scalar conformal blocks in higher dimensions. This correspondence was also observed in the recent paper [48], where the mixed-symmetry seed conformal blocks in four dimensions were computed using a twistor formalism.

3.5 Recursion relation for radial coordinates

Here we will discuss how to use these recursion relations for expansions of conformal blocks in the radial coordinates (r, η) of [49–51]. These coordinates are related to the cross-ratios (u, v) by

$$u = \frac{16r^2}{(r^2+2\eta r+1)^2}\,, \qquad v = \frac{(r^2-2\eta r+1)^2}{(r^2+2\eta r+1)^2}\,, \tag{3.29}$$

and one typically considers expansions of the conformal blocks up to some order m in r

$$\begin{aligned} G^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}_{\Delta,\lambda}(r) &= \sum_{m'=0}^{m} r^{\Delta+m'} H_{m'} + \mathcal{O}(r^{\Delta+m+1}) \\ &\equiv G^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}_{\Delta,\lambda}(r,m) + \mathcal{O}(r^{\Delta+m+1})\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

For such expansions the recursion relations can be used by splitting them into contributions which satisfy the different recursion relations, as in (3.18)

$$G^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}_{\Delta,\lambda}(r,m) = \sum_{n=l_2}^{2l_2} F^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}_{\Delta,\lambda,n}(\Delta_{12},\Delta_{34};r,m)\,. \tag{3.31}$$

The identification of the different parts of the conformal blocks can be performed as illustrated in the diagram (3.24), by starting at the lowest allowed l_1 and using the recursion to find the term that has to be subtracted from the next conformal block to get the seed for the next recursion relation. To derive the recursion relations in (r, η) one has to expand $u^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and v in r

$$u^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{4r} + \frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{r}{4}\,, \qquad v = 1 + \mathcal{O}(r)\,. \tag{3.32}$$

Unfortunately the appearance of the term of order r^{-1} means that the recursion relations decrease the order of the expansion in r. They read

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(l_1-n)F^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}_{\Delta,(l_1,l_2,l_3),n}(\Delta_{12},\Delta_{34};r,m)=\\
&\left(l_1+\tfrac{d}{2}-2\right)\left(\frac{1}{4r}+\frac{\eta}{2}+\frac{r}{4}\right)\Big(F^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}_{\Delta,(l_1-1,l_2,l_3),n}(\Delta_{12}+1,\Delta_{34}-1;r,m+1)-\\
&F^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}_{\Delta,(l_1-1,l_2,l_3),n}(\Delta_{12}+1,\Delta_{34}+1;r,m+1)-F^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}_{\Delta,(l_1-1,l_2,l_3),n}(\Delta_{12}-1,\Delta_{34}-1;r,m+1)+\\
&vF^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}_{\Delta,(l_1-1,l_2,l_3),n}(\Delta_{12}-1,\Delta_{34}+1;r,m+1)\Big)-(l_1+n+d-4)F^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}_{\Delta,(l_1-2,l_2,l_3),n}(\Delta_{12},\Delta_{34};r,m)\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.33}
$$

These relations were checked with the r expansions from [51] for the case of two external vectors and exchange of the irrep , which is depicted in the diagram (3.24). To this end the normalizations were matched in the OPE limit.

4 Concluding remarks

In this paper the projectors to traceless mixed-symmetry tensors that appear in the correlator of four stress tensors were derived in terms of Gegenbauer polynomials. Knowledge of the explicit form of the projectors led us to a single universal recursion relation in l_1 for seed conformal blocks, given by (3.21). Interestingly, the existence of the recursion relation does not rely on the complete expressions for the projectors, but only requires that their dependence on l_1 is according to (3.1). Of course this implies that, to show that the recursion relation is truly universal (i.e. holds for any seed conformal block of bosonic operators), it is enough to prove that all projectors to traceless mixed-symmetry tensors can be written as in (3.1).

In order for the conformal blocks to obey the recursion relation, it is required that they are normalized in a particular way. In particular, the normalization constant of the projector as well as the normalization of the shadow operator need to be canceled from the integral expression of the conformal partial wave. To this end the normalization of the shadow was computed. Furthermore, the OPE limit of the shadow integral was analyzed to allow for comparisons to other results.

Another remark concerns the solution of the recursion relations. The new recursion relations (3.21) are generalizations of the recursion relation for scalar conformal blocks of [38] with a new parameter n. This new parameter can be absorbed into l_1 and d by using shifted parameters

$$
l_1'=l_1-n\,,\qquad d'=d+2n\,. \tag{4.1}
$$

As a result the recursion relations for general seed conformal blocks are solved by scalar conformal blocks in higher dimensions, suggesting that seed conformal blocks can generally be expressed in terms of such scalar blocks. A similar correspondence was also observed in the recent paper [48] for the case $d=4$.

Beside this application, we want to stress that the projectors actually play a very important role in CFTs. The most basic example in which they appear is the two point functions

of mixed-symmetry operators,

$$\left\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\lambda}^{a_1\dots a_{|\lambda|}}(x)\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\lambda}^{b_1\dots b_{|\lambda|}}(0)\right\rangle = |x|^{-2\Delta}\,\pi_\lambda^{c_1\dots c_{|\lambda|},b_1\dots b_{|\lambda|}}\prod_{i=1}^{|\lambda|}\left(\delta_{c_i}^{a_i}-2\frac{x^{a_i}x_{c_i}}{x^2}\right). \tag{4.2}$$

Moreover, the projector π_λ is a necessary ingredient to compute a conformal block for the exchange of an irrep λ. For example, the OPE limit of any seed conformal block with external operators $\mathcal{O}_i$ of spins ℓ_i is always written (here without being precise on the placement of the indices on the π_{ℓ_i}) in terms of the two-point function of the exchanged operator as

$$\frac{x_{12\,a_1}..x_{12\,a_{l_1}}\,(\pi_{\ell_1}\pi_{\ell_2})_{a_{l_1+1}\dots a_{|\lambda|}}^{c_1\dots c_{\ell_1}e_1\dots e_{\ell_2}}\,x_{34\,b_1}..x_{34\,b_{l_1}}\,(\pi_{\ell_3}\pi_{\ell_4})_{b_{l_1+1}\dots b_{|\lambda|}}^{f_1\dots f_{\ell_3}g_1\dots g_{\ell_4}}\,\left\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\lambda}^{a_1\dots a_{|\lambda|}}(x_2)\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\lambda}^{b_1\dots b_{|\lambda|}}(x_4)\right\rangle}{|x_{12}|^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta+l_1}|x_{34}|^{\Delta_3+\Delta_4-\Delta+l_1}}$$

therefore it involves the projector π_λ (see appendix E). Of course, also the leading OPE of any other conformal block is written in terms of the projectors since it can be generated by acting with some derivatives on the seed block. From this remark it is clear that the knowledge of π_λ is needed to compute conformal blocks from their radial coordinate expansion [49–51], since the leading term of the expansion is the leading OPE.

To compute conformal blocks with the shadow formalism it is crucial to know the form of π_λ as well, since it appears explicitly in the shadow integral. In this paper we expressed π_λ in terms of derivatives of the Gegenbauer polynomial, which encodes the projector to traceless symmetric irreps. Therefore, it should be possible to compute any family of seed conformal blocks (for generic l_1) in terms of scalar conformal blocks by a direct computation. To achieve this, it is enough to rewrite the integrand of the shadow integral of the seed conformal block (3.16) in terms of derivatives of integrands of scalar conformal blocks. The general result for seed conformal blocks of the family $\lambda = (l_1, 1)$ was obtained in this way in [42].

Acknowledgements

This research received funding from the [European Union] 7th Framework Programme (Marie Curie Actions) under grant agreements No 269217 and 317089 (GATIS), and from the research grant CERN/FIS-NUC/0045/2015. T.H. was supported by the German Science Foundation (DFG) within the Collaborative Research Center 676 "Particles, Strings and the Early Universe". The work of E.T. has been supported by the Portuguese Fundacão para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) through the fellowship SFRH/BD/51984/2012. His research was partially supported by Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through Industry Canada and by the Province of Ontario though the Ministry of Economic Development & innovation.

A A mixed-symmetry differential operator

In this section we find an alternative way to generate the projectors into traceless mixed-symmetry tensors for Young diagrams with two rows. The main idea is to generalize the

result of [52], where the differential operator

$$D_z^a = \left(\frac{d}{2} - 1 + z\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_a} - \frac{1}{2}z^a\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z\cdot\partial z}\,, \tag{A.1}$$

was defined in order to generate projectors to traceless symmetric tensor representations

$$\pi_{(l)}^{a_1\ldots a_l,b_1\ldots b_l} = \frac{1}{l!(\frac{d}{2}-1)_l}D_z^{a_1}\ldots D_z^{a_l}z^{b_1}\ldots z^{b_l}\,. \tag{A.2}$$

One can construct this operator by looking for an operator of weight -1 in z that preserves the space defined by $z^2=0$, i.e.

$$D_z^a\big(z^2 f(z)\big) = \mathcal{O}\big(z^2\big)\,. \tag{A.3}$$

This ensures tracelessness of the expression (A.2), since contracting with $\delta_{b_1b_2}$ and acting with all the operators yields something that is $\mathcal{O}(z^2)$ and of degree zero in z, hence 0.

A generalized differential operator for Young diagrams with two rows should generate the projectors into traceless mixed-symmetry tensors in a similar way by acting on a combination of two different vectors

$$\begin{aligned}&\pi_\lambda^{[a_1a_2]\ldots[a_{2l_2-1}a_{2l_2}]a_{2l_2+1}\ldots a_{l_1+l_2},[b_1b_2]\ldots[b_{2l_2-1}b_{2l_2}]b_{2l_2+1}\ldots b_{l_1+l_2}}\\ &= \mathcal{N}_{l_1,l_2}D_1^{[a_1}D_2^{a_2]}\ldots D_1^{[a_{2l_2-1}}D_2^{a_{2l_2}]}D_1^{a_{2l_2+1}}\ldots D_1^{a_{l_1+l_2}}z_1^{[b_1}z_2^{b_2]}\ldots z_1^{[b_{2l_2-1}}z_2^{b_{2l_2}]}z_1^{b_{2l_2+1}}\ldots z_1^{b_{l_1+l_2}}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

Clearly this expression is Young symmetrized (in the antisymmetric representation) and traceless, provided the differential operators D_1 and D_2 preserve the space defined by $z_1^2=0$, $z_2^2=0$ and $z_1\cdot z_2=0$, namely

$$\begin{aligned}D_{1,2}^a\big(z_1^2 f(z_1,z_2)\big) &= \mathcal{O}\big(z_1^2, z_1\cdot z_2, z_2^2\big)\,,\\ D_{1,2}^a\big(z_2^2 f(z_1,z_2)\big) &= \mathcal{O}\big(z_1^2, z_1\cdot z_2, z_2^2\big)\,,\\ D_{1,2}^a\big(z_1\cdot z_2 f(z_1,z_2)\big) &= \mathcal{O}\big(z_1^2, z_1\cdot z_2, z_2^2\big)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

Furthermore, D_1 must have weight -1 in z_1 and 0 in z_2, and D_2 must have weight 0 in z_1 and -1 in z_2. These requirements fix the operators completely as [6]

$$\begin{aligned}D_1^a &\equiv D_{z_1,z_2}^a \equiv d_{00}\partial_{z_1}^a + d_{-11}\partial_{z_2}^a + z_1^a d_{-20} + z_2^a d_{-1-1}\,,\\ D_2^a &\equiv D_{z_2,z_1}^a\,.\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

where d_{mn} are differential operators with weight m in the variable z_1 and n in the variable z_2, defined by

$$\begin{aligned}d_{00} &\equiv \left(1-\tfrac{d}{2}\right)\left[(d-3)+3(z_1\cdot\partial_{z_1})+(z_2\cdot\partial_{z_2})\right] - (z_1\cdot\partial_{z_1})(z_2\cdot\partial_{z_2}) - z_1^a(z_1\cdot\partial_{z_1})\partial_{z_1\,a}\,,\\ d_{-20} &\equiv \frac{1}{2}\left[2\left(\tfrac{d}{2}-1\right)+(z_2\cdot\partial_{z_2})+(z_1\cdot\partial_{z_1})\right](\partial_{z_1}\cdot\partial_{z_1})\,,\\ d_{-11} &\equiv -(d-2)(z_2\cdot\partial_{z_1}) - (z_2\cdot\partial_{z_1})(z_2\cdot\partial_{z_2}) - (z_1\cdot\partial_{z_1})(z_2\cdot\partial_{z_1})\,,\\ d_{-1-1} &\equiv \left[\left(\tfrac{d}{2}-1\right)+(z_1\cdot\partial_{z_1})\right](\partial_{z_1}\cdot\partial_{z_2}) + \frac{1}{2}\left[(z_2\cdot\partial_{z_1})(\partial_{z_2}\cdot\partial_{z_2}) - (z_1\cdot\partial_{z_2})(\partial_{z_1}\cdot\partial_{z_1})\right]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

[6] There exist higher order differential operators that satisfy the same requirements. The ones that we found are the lowest order ones.

Moreover, these operators automatically satisfy

$$\delta_{ab} D_i^a D_i^b = 0\,, \qquad [D_i^a, D_i^b] = 0\,, \qquad [D_1^a, D_2^b] = 0\,, \tag{A.8}$$

for $i \in \{1,2\}$. The general normalization factor appearing in (A.4) is fixed asking for the idempotence of the projector,

$$\mathcal{N}_{l_1,l_2} = \frac{(-1)^{l_2-l_1} 2^{l_2} (l_1 - l_2 + 1)}{\Gamma(l_1+2)\Gamma(l_2+1)\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)_{l_1}\left(\frac{d}{2}-2\right)_{l_2}(d-3)_{l_1+l_2}}\,. \tag{A.9}$$

As an example we construct the projector into the representations $\square\!\square\!\square\!\cdots\!\square$,

$$\pi^{[a_1a_2]a_3\ldots a_{l_1+1},[b_1b_2]b_3\ldots b_{l_1+1}}_{(l_1,1)} = \mathcal{N}_{l_1,1}\;\; D_1^{[a_1} D_2^{a_2]} D_1^{a_3}\cdots D_1^{a_{l_1+1}} \;\; z_1^{[b_1} z_2^{b_2]} z_1^{b_3}\cdots z_1^{b_{l_1+1}}\,. \tag{A.10}$$

The projector that is generated in this way is in the antisymmetric representation. It is related to the other expressions derived in the main text by the contraction

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_\lambda(z_1,z_2,\bar z_1,\bar z_2) = n_\lambda z_1^{a_1} z_2^{a_2}\ldots z_1^{a_{2l_2-1}} z_2^{a_{2l_2}} z_1^{a_{2l_2+1}}\ldots z_1^{a_{l_1+l_2}} \\ \pi_\lambda^{[a_1a_2]\ldots[a_{2l_2-1}a_{2l_2}]a_{2l_2+1}\ldots a_{l_1+l_2},[b_1b_2]\ldots[b_{2l_2-1}b_{2l_2}]b_{2l_2+1}\ldots b_{l_1+l_2}} \\ \bar z_1^{b_1}\bar z_2^{b_2}\ldots \bar z_1^{b_{2l_2-1}}\bar z_2^{b_{2l_2}}\bar z_1^{b_{2l_2+1}}\ldots\bar z_1^{b_{l_1+l_2}},\end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

where the factor n_λ appears due to the change from the antisymmetric to the symmetric representation and is defined in (2.60).

It is in principle possible to generalize this method in order to study Young diagrams with more than two rows. However this requires to find a new set of differential operators, which we expect to be lengthy and therefore not very efficient.

B Relating different projectors

This section introduces a useful relation between similar projectors. The main observation is that a contraction between corresponding indices on the left and right of a projector leads to an object that is still traceless and has mixed-symmetry. Hence it should be proportional to another projector, e.g.

$$\delta_{a_1b_1}\pi^{(a_1\ldots a_{l_1})\ldots(\ldots a_{l_1+l_2+l_3}),(b_1\ldots b_{l_1})\ldots(\ldots b_{l_1+l_2+l_3})}_{(l_1,l_2,l_3)} \propto \pi^{(a_2\ldots a_{l_1})\ldots(\ldots a_{l_1+l_2+l_3}),(b_2\ldots b_{l_1})\ldots(\ldots b_{l_1+l_2+l_3})}_{(l_1-1,l_2,l_3)}\,. \tag{B.1}$$

Using auxiliary vectors $\{z_i,\bar z_i\}$ $(i=1,2,3)$, this equation can be written as

$$\frac{1}{(l_1)^2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar z_1}\right)\pi_{(l_1,l_2,l_3)}(\{z_i,\bar z_i\}) \propto \pi_{(l_1-1,l_2,l_3)}(\{z_i,\bar z_i\})\,. \tag{B.2}$$

The proportionality factor can be found by using that the full trace of a projector is given by the dimension d_λ of the $SO(d)$ irrep,

$$\frac{1}{(l_1!l_2!l_3!)^2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar z_1}\right)^{l_1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar z_2}\right)^{l_2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar z_3}\right)^{l_3}\pi_{(l_1,l_2,l_3)}(\{z_i,\bar z_i\}) = d_{(l_1,l_2,l_3)}\,, \tag{B.3}$$

which is given by [53]

$$d_\lambda = \frac{1}{H(\lambda)} \prod_{i\geq j}^{h_1} (d + l_i + l_j - i - j) \prod_{i<j}^{l_1} (d - h_i - h_j + i + j - 2)\,, \tag{B.4}$$

where $H(\lambda)$ was defined in (2.60). Since both sides in (B.2) can be reduced to the corresponding dimensions by doing full contractions, the missing constant is given by the ratios of dimensions

$$\frac{1}{(l_1)^2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1}\right)\pi_{(l_1,l_2,l_3)}(\{z_i,\bar{z}_i\}) = \frac{d_{(l_1,l_2,l_3)}}{d_{(l_1-1,l_2,l_3)}}\,\pi_{(l_1-1,l_2,l_3)}(\{z_i,\bar{z}_i\})\,. \tag{B.5}$$

Of course the same relation holds also for the other rows in the Young diagram, e.g.

$$\frac{1}{(l_2)^2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2}\right)\pi_{(l_1,l_2,l_3)}(\{z_i,\bar{z}_i\}) = \frac{d_{(l_1,l_2,l_3)}}{d_{(l_1,l_2-1,l_3)}}\,\pi_{(l_1,l_2-1,l_3)}(\{z_i,\bar{z}_i\})\,. \tag{B.6}$$

C More projectors

In this appendix we state the remaining projectors to irreducible representations of $SO(d)$ that can appear in a three-point function with two stress-tensors.

C.1 Projectors to the irreps $(l_1, 3)$

For Young diagrams of shape  the possible combinations of the proposed building blocks are

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= H(z_2,\bar{z}_2)^3\,,\\
Q_2 &= H(z_2,\bar{z}_2)^2 V(z_2)\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)\,,\\
Q_3 &= H(z_2,\bar{z}_2) V(z_2)^2\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)^2\,,\\
Q_4 &= V(z_2)^3\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)^3\,,\\
Q_5 &= H(z_2,\bar{z}_2) T(z_2,z_2)\bar{T}(\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_2)\,,\\
Q_6 &= V(z_2)\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2) T(z_2,z_2)\bar{T}(\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_2)\,,\\
Q_7 &= H(z_2,\bar{z}_2)\left(T(z_2,z_2)\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)^2 + V(z_2)^2\bar{T}(\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_2)\right)\,,\\
Q_8 &= V(z_2)\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)\left(T(z_2,z_2)\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)^2 + V(z_2)^2\bar{T}(\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_2)\right)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

Imposing the tracelessness conditions (2.20) one finds that the functions $f_i(t)$ that appear in the projector (2.14) can then be written as

$$f_i(t) = -\frac{\hat{f}_i(t)}{d}\,, \qquad \forall i = 1,\ldots,8\,, \tag{C.2}$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}_1(t) = & -d(1+d)(2+d)t\left(-3+dt^2\right)\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(3)}(t) - 3(1+d)(2+d)\left(t^2-1\right)\left(dt^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(4)}(t) \\
& - 3d(2+d)t\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(5)}(t) - d\left(t^2-1\right)^3\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(6)}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_2(t) = & \, 6d(1+d)(2+d)t\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(3)}(t) + 3(1+d)(2+d)\left(-3+(4+d)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(4)}(t) \\
& + 6(1+d)(2+d)t\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(5)}(t) + 3d\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(6)}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_3(t) = & \, 3d(1+d)(2+d)t\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(3)}(t) + 9(1+d)(2+d)\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(4)}(t) \\
& - 3(2+d)t\left(4+d-3t^2\right)\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(5)}(t) - 3\left(d-t^2\right)\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(6)}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_4(t) = & -3(1+d)(2+d)\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(4)}(t) - 6(2+d)t\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(5)}(t) + \left(d-3t^2\right)\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(6)}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_5(t) = & \, 3d(2+d)t\left(-4+(1+d)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(3)}(t) + 3(2+d)\left(t^2-1\right)\left(-4+3(1+d)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(4)}(t) \\
& + 9(2+d)t\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(5)}(t) + 3\left(t^2-1\right)^3\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(6)}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_6(t) = & -3(2+d)\left(-4+(1+d)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(4)}(t) - 6(2+d)t\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(5)}(t) - 3\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(6)}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_7(t) = & \, 3d(1+d)(2+d)t^2\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(3)}(t) + 3(1+d)(2+d)t\left(-1+3t^2\right)\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(4)}(t) \\
& + 9(2+d)t^2\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(5)}(t) + 3t\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(6)}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_8(t) = & -3(1+d)(2+d)t\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(4)}(t) - 6(2+d)t^2\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(5)}(t) - 3t\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(6)}(t)\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{C.3}
$$

C.2 Projectors to the irreps $(l_1, 4)$

For Young diagrams of shape  the required building blocks are

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= H(z_2,\bar{z}_2)^4\,, \\
Q_2 &= H(z_2,\bar{z}_2)^3V(z_2)\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)\,, \\
Q_3 &= H(z_2,\bar{z}_2)^2V(z_2)^2\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)^2\,, \\
Q_4 &= H(z_2,\bar{z}_2)V(z_2)^3\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)^3\,, \\
Q_5 &= V(z_2)^4\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)^4\,, \\
Q_6 &= H(z_2,\bar{z}_2)^2T(z_2,z_2)\bar{T}(\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_2)\,, \\
Q_7 &= H(z_2,\bar{z}_2)V(z_2)\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)T(z_2,z_2)\bar{T}(\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_2)\,, \\
Q_8 &= V(z_2)^2\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)^2T(z_2,z_2)\bar{T}(\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_2)\,, \\
Q_9 &= T(z_2,z_2)^2\bar{T}(\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_2)^2\,, \\
Q_{10} &= H(z_2,\bar{z}_2)^2\left(T(z_2,z_2)\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)^2 + V(z_2)^2\bar{T}(\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_2)\right)\,, \\
Q_{11} &= H(z_2,\bar{z}_2)V(z_2)\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)\left(T(z_2,z_2)\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)^2 + V(z_2)^2\bar{T}(\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_2)\right)\,, \\
Q_{12} &= V(z_2)^2\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)^2\left(T(z_2,z_2)\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)^2 + V(z_2)^2\bar{T}(\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_2)\right)\,, \\
Q_{13} &= T(z_2,z_2)^2\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)^4 + V(z_2)^4\bar{T}(\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_2)^2\,, \\
Q_{14} &= T(z_2,z_2)\bar{T}(\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_2)\left(T(z_2,z_2)\bar{V}(\bar{z}_2)^2 + V(z_2)^2\bar{T}(\bar{z}_2,\bar{z}_2)\right)\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{C.4}
$$

In this case the functions $f_i(t)$ that appear in the projector (2.14) can then be written as

$$f_i(t) = -\frac{\hat{f}_i(t)}{d(d+2)}\,, \qquad \forall i = 1, \ldots, 14\,, \tag{C.5}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}_1(t) &= (d+1)_4 \left(6dt^2 - 3 - d(2+d)t^4\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(4)}(t) - 4d(d+2)_3 t \left(t^2-1\right)\left((2+d)t^2 - 3\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(5)}(t) \\
&\quad - 6d(d+3)_2 \left(t^2-1\right)^2 \left(-1 + (2+d)t^2\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(6)}(t) - 4d(2+d)(4+d)t \left(t^2-1\right)^3 \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(7)}(t) \\
&\quad - d(2+d)\left(t^2-1\right)^4 \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(8)}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_2(t) &= 12(d+1)_4 \left(dt^2-1\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(4)}(t) + 4(d+2)_3 t \left(-3 - 9d + d(11+d)t^2\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(5)}(t) \\
&\quad + 12d(d+3)_2 \left(t^2-1\right)\left(-2 + (5+d)t^2\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(6)}(t) + 12d(d+3)_2 t \left(t^2-1\right)^2 \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(7)}(t) \\
&\quad + 4d(2+d)\left(t^2-1\right)^3 \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(8)}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_3(t) &= 6(d+1)_4 \left(-3 + dt^2\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(4)}(t) + 12(d+2)_3 t \left(-3 + d\left(-3 + 2t^2\right)\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(5)}(t) \\
&\quad - 6(d+3)_2 \left(-6d + (3 + d(16+d))t^2 - 6dt^4\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(6)}(t) \\
&\quad - 12d(4+d)t \left(5 + d - 2t^2\right)\left(t^2-1\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(7)}(t) - 6d\left(2 + d - t^2\right)\left(t^2-1\right)^2 \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(8)}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_4(t) &= -12(d+1)_4 \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(4)}(t) - 12(2+d)(3+d)^2(4+d)t \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(5)}(t) \\
&\quad - 12(d+3)_2 \left(-2d + 3(1+d)t^2\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(6)}(t) + 4(4+d)t \left(d(11+d) - 3(1+3d)t^2\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(7)}(t) \\
&\quad + 4d\left(2 + d - 3t^2\right)\left(t^2-1\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(8)}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_5(t) &= -3(d+1)_4 \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(4)}(t) - 12(d+2)_3 t \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(5)}(t) + 6(d+3)_2 \left(d - 3t^2\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(6)}(t) \\
&\quad + 12(4+d)t \left(d - t^2\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(7)}(t) + \left(-d(2+d) + 6dt^2 - 3t^4\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(8)}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_6(t) &= 6(1+d)(2+d)(4+d)\left(4 - (1+7d)t^2 + d(3+d)t^4\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(4)}(t) \\
&\quad + 12(2+d)(4+d)t \left(t^2-1\right)\left(-1 - 7d + 2d(3+d)t^2\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(5)}(t) \\
&\quad + 6(4+d)\left(t^2-1\right)^2 \left(-1 - 7d + 6d(3+d)t^2\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(6)}(t) + 24d(4+d)t \left(t^2-1\right)^3 \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(7)}(t) \\
&\quad + 6d\left(t^2-1\right)^4 \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(8)}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_7(t) &= -12(1+d)(2+d)(4+d)\left(-4 + (3+d)t^2\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(4)}(t) \\
&\quad - 12(2+d)(4+d)t \left(-8(1+d) + (3+d)^2 t^2\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(5)}(t) \\
&\quad - 12(4+d)\left(t^2-1\right)\left(-1 - 7d + 3(1+d)(3+d)t^2\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(6)}(t) \\
&\quad - 12(4+d)(1+3d)t \left(t^2-1\right)^2 \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(7)}(t) - 12d\left(t^2-1\right)^3 \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(8)}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_8(t) &= -12(1+d)(2+d)(4+d)\left(-2 + (3+d)t^2\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(4)}(t) \\
&\quad - 12(2+d)(4+d)t \left(-7 - d + 4(3+d)t^2\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(5)}(t) \\
&\quad + 6(4+d)\left(-1 - 7d + (4+d)(7+d)t^2 - 12(3+d)t^4\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(6)}(t) \\
&\quad + 12(4+d)t \left(1 + d - 4t^2\right)\left(t^2-1\right) \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(7)}(t) + 6\left(d - 2t^2\right)\left(t^2-1\right)^2 \mathcal{C}_{l_1}^{(8)}(t)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.6}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}_9(t) = & -3(2+d)(4+d)\left(8+(1+d)t^2\left(-8+(3+d)t^2\right)\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t) \\
& -12(2+d)(4+d)t\left(t^2-1\right)\left(-4+(3+d)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t) \\
& -6(4+d)\left(t^2-1\right)^2\left(-4+3(3+d)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t) - 12(4+d)t\left(t^2-1\right)^3\mathcal{C}^{(7)}_{l_1}(t) \\
& -3\left(t^2-1\right)^4\mathcal{C}^{(8)}_{l_1}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_{10}(t) = & \, 6(d+1)_4 t\left(dt^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t) + 12(d+2)_3 t^2\left(-1+d\left(-1+2t^2\right)\right)\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t) \\
& +6(d+3)_2 t\left(t^2-1\right)\left(-1+d\left(-1+6t^2\right)\right)\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t) + 24d(4+d)t^2\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}^{(7)}_{l_1}(t) \\
& +6dt\left(t^2-1\right)^3\mathcal{C}^{(8)}_{l_1}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_{11}(t) = & -12(d+1)_4 t\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t) - 12(2+d)(3+d)^2(4+d)t^2\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t) \\
& -12(1+d)(d+3)_2 t\left(-1+3t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t) - 12(4+d)(1+3d)t^2\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(7)}_{l_1}(t) \\
& -12dt\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}^{(8)}_{l_1}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_{12}(t) = & -6(d+1)_4 t\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t) - 24(d+2)_3 t^2\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t) + 6(d+3)_2 t\left(1+d-6t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t) \\
& +12(4+d)t^2\left(1+d-2t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(7)}_{l_1}(t) + 6t\left(d-t^2\right)\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(8)}_{l_1}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_{13}(t) = & -3(d+1)_4 t^2\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t) - 12(d+2)_3 t^3\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t) - 6(d+3)_2 t^2\left(-1+3t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t) \\
& -12(4+d)t^3\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(7)}_{l_1}(t) - 3t^2\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}^{(8)}_{l_1}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_{14}(t) = & -6(1+d)(2+d)(4+d)t\left(-4+(3+d)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t) \\
& -12(2+d)(4+d)t^2\left(-7-d+2(3+d)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t) \\
& -6(4+d)t\left(t^2-1\right)\left(-7-d+6(3+d)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t) \\
& -24(4+d)t^2\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}^{(7)}_{l_1}(t) - 6t\left(t^2-1\right)^3\mathcal{C}^{(8)}_{l_1}(t)\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

C.3 Projectors to the irreps $(l_1, 3, 1)$

To construct the tensor structures for projectors to irreps  we need to consider the reducible representation for the shape , given by



(C.7)

with the building blocks



(C.8)

Note that the second expression here is not symmetric in its indices. However, the third expression is antisymmetric and the second one has a symmetric part, so this will suffice as a basis. From the tensor product



(C.9)

we conclude that in this case there will be 21 allowed structures, which can be identified with different terms in the tensor product



(C.10)

Using the birdtrack notation (2.23), each Q_i has the form



(C.11)

$Q_{15} =$ 

$Q_{16} =$ 

The functions $f_i(t)$ can now be written as

$$f_i(t) = -\frac{\hat{f}_i(t)}{(d-2)d}\,, \qquad \forall i = 1,\ldots,16\,, \tag{C.12}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}_1(t) =& -(d-1)(1+d)_2 t\left(4-3d+(d-2)dt^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t)\\
&-(2+d)\left(t^2-1\right)\left(4-3(d-1)d+(d-2)d(1+3d)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\\
&-(d-2)d(5+3d)t\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t)-(d-2)d\left(t^2-1\right)^3\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
\hat{f}_2(t) =& 4(d-1)_4 t\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t)+2(2+d)\left(4-3(d-1)d+d(-10+d(5+d))t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\\
&+4d(-7+d(2+d))t\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t)+2(d-2)d\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
\hat{f}_3(t) =& 4\left(4-5d^2+d^4\right)t\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t)+2(2+d)\left(4-4t^2+(d-1)d\left(-3+(2+d)t^2\right)\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\\
&+4\left(-4-d+d^3\right)t\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t)+2(d-2)d\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
\hat{f}_4(t) =& 4(d-1)_4 t\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t)+4(2+d)\left(4+d\left(3+t^2+3d\left(t^2-1\right)\right)\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\\
&+4t\left(8+d\left(6+5t^2-d\left(5+d-3t^2\right)\right)\right)\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t)+4d\left(-2+d+t^2-dt^2+t^4\right)\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
\hat{f}_5(t) =& (d-4)(d-1)(1+d)_2 t\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t)+(2+d)\left(4+3d-3d^2+(d-4)(1+3d)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\\
&+t\left(16-d\left(6+d+d^2\right)+(d-4)(5+3d)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t)\\
&+\left(t^2-1\right)\left(-(d-2)d+(d-4)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
\hat{f}_6(t) =& 2(2+d)(4+3d-3d^2)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)-4\left(3d^2+d-8\right)t\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t)\\
&+\left(2(d-2)d+2(4-3d)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
\hat{f}_7(t) =& 4(d-1)(1+d)_2 t\left(-4+dt^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t)+4d(2+d)\left(t^2-1\right)\left(-4+(1+3d)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\\
&+4d(5+3d)t\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t)+4d\left(t^2-1\right)^3\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
\hat{f}_8(t) =& -16(d-1)(1+d)_2 t\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t)+8(2+d)\left(4d-(-2+d(5+d))t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)\\
&-16d(3+d)t\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t)-8d\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
\hat{f}_9(t) =& 16d(2+d)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)+4d(7+d)t\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t)+4d\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t)\,,\\
\hat{f}_{10}(t) =& (d-4)\left(d^2+d-2\right)t\left((1+d)t^2-4\right)\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t)+(d-4)(2+d)\left((1+3d)t^2-4\right)\\
&\times\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t)+(d-4)(5+3d)t\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t)+(d-4)\left(t^2-1\right)^3\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.13}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}_{11}(t) = & -16(d-1)(1+d)_2 t\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) - 2(2+d)\left(16-12d+(-28+3d(7+d))t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t) \\
& -4(-16+3d(3+d))t\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t) - 2(3d-4)\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_{12}(t) = & \, 4(d-1)_4 t^2\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) + 4(2+d)t\left(-4+d-d^2+d(1+3d)t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t) \\
& +4d(5+3d)t^2\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t) + 4dt\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_{13}(t) = & -4\left(8+d\left(2+d+d^2\right)\right)t\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t) - 8\left(2+d+d^2\right)t^2\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t) - 4dt\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_{14}(t) = & \, (d-4)(d-1)(1+d)_2 t^2\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) + (d-4)(2+d)t\left(-3+t^2+d\left(-1+3t^2\right)\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t) \\
& +(d-4)(5+3d)t^2\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t) + (d-4)t\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_{15}(t) = & \, 2(4-d)(2+d)(3+d)t\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t) - 4(d-4)(3+d)t^2\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t) - 2(d-4)t\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t)\,, \\
\hat{f}_{16}(t) = & \, 16(d-1)(1+d)_2 t\mathcal{C}^{(3)}_{l_1}(t) + 4(2+d)\left(-4d+(-8+d(11+d))t^2\right)\mathcal{C}^{(4)}_{l_1}(t) \\
& +8(-2+d(5+d))t\left(t^2-1\right)\mathcal{C}^{(5)}_{l_1}(t) + 4d\left(t^2-1\right)^2\mathcal{C}^{(6)}_{l_1}(t)\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

D Computation of the constants $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}_{\Delta\Delta_{12}}$ from the shadow formalism

In this section the constant

$$
\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}_{\Delta\Delta_{12}} = \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_1(P_1;\mathbf{Z}_1)\mathcal{O}_2(P_2;\mathbf{Z}_2)\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(P_3;\mathbf{Z}_3)\rangle}{\langle \mathcal{O}_1(P_1;\mathbf{Z}_1)\mathcal{O}_2(P_2;\mathbf{Z}_2)\mathcal{O}(P_3;\mathbf{Z}_3)\rangle\big|_{\Delta\to\tilde{\Delta}}}\,, \tag{D.1}
$$

is computed. Previous known results are the cases $\langle \bullet\bullet \square\cdots\square \rangle$ [38] and $\langle \bullet\,\square \begin{smallmatrix}\square\\ \square\end{smallmatrix}\cdots\square \rangle$ [42]. In this appendix, the constant is computed for any three-point function that has a single tensor structure and obeys $|\lambda_1|+|\lambda_2| = |\lambda| - l_1$ (as discussed in section 3.1). We start in subsection D.1 by showing that $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}_{\Delta\Delta_{12}}$ does not depend on the $SO(d)$ irreps λ_1 and λ_2, by constructing a differential operator that transfers spin between the operators $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ without violating the condition $|\lambda_1|+|\lambda_2| = |\lambda| - l_1$. In the following subsections $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}_{\Delta\Delta_{12}}$ is computed for the case of an arbitrary irrep $\lambda = (l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots)$ and $\lambda_1 = \bullet$, $\lambda_2 = (l_2, l_3, \ldots)$.

D.1 Spin transfer operator

The shadow operator is

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(P_3;\mathbf{Z}_3) = \int D^d P_0\, \mathcal{O}(P_0;\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathbf{Z}_0})\pi_\lambda(\mathbf{Z}_0;\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_0})\langle \mathcal{O}(P_0;\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_0)\mathcal{O}(P_3;\mathbf{Z}_3)\rangle\big|_{\Delta\to\tilde{\Delta}}\,, \tag{D.2}
$$

hence the three-point function of the shadow is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathcal{O}_1(P_1;\mathbf{Z}_1)\mathcal{O}_2(P_2;\mathbf{Z}_2)\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(P_3;\mathbf{Z}_3)\rangle = \int & D^d P_0 \langle \mathcal{O}_1(P_1;\mathbf{Z}_1)\mathcal{O}_2(P_2;\mathbf{Z}_2)\mathcal{O}(P_0;\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathbf{Z}_0})\rangle \\
& \pi_\lambda(\mathbf{Z}_0;\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_0})\langle \mathcal{O}(P_0;\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_0)\mathcal{O}(P_3;\mathbf{Z}_3)\rangle\big|_{\Delta\to\tilde{\Delta}}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{D.3}
$$

Our strategy will be to find a differential operator which transforms a three-point function with operators $\mathcal{O}$, $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ in the representations $\lambda = (l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_{h_1})$ and $\lambda_1 = \bullet$, $\lambda_2 =$

$(l_2,\dots,l_{h_1})$

$$\left\langle \mathcal{O}_1(P_1)\mathcal{O}_2(P_2,\mathbf{Z}_2)\mathcal{O}(P_3,\mathbf{Z}_3)\right\rangle_{\text{enc}} = \frac{\left(V_{(3,12)}^{(Z_{31})}\right)^{l_1}\left(H_{23}^{(Z_{21},Z_{32})}\right)^{l_2}\dots\left(H_{23}^{(Z_{2(h_1-1)},Z_{3h_1})}\right)^{l_{h_1}}}{(P_{13})^{\frac{\Delta_1+\Delta-\Delta_2}{2}}(P_{23})^{\frac{\Delta_2+\Delta-\Delta_1}{2}}(P_{12})^{\frac{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta}{2}}}\,, \tag{D.4}$$

into another three-point function with a single tensor structure by transferring spin from the operator $\mathcal{O}_2$ to $\mathcal{O}_1$. This operator must decrease the homogeneity of a polynomial in Z_{2i} and increase it in Z_{1j} by one each, while not changing the homogeneity in P_1 and P_2. Furthermore, it must preserve the transverseness of the functions, that is

$$F(P_i, Z_{ij}+cP_i) = F(P_i,Z_{ij}). \tag{D.5}$$

This last requirement means that the operator should transfer terms of order

$$\mathcal{O}(Z_{ij}^2, Z_{ij}\cdot P_i, P_i^2)\,, \qquad i\in\{1,2\}\,, \tag{D.6}$$

into terms of the same kind. Such an operator is given in terms of the differential operator derived in Appendix A in $d+2$ dimensions (i.e. each d in its definition must be replaced by $d+2$),

$$\mathcal{D}_{Z_{2i},Z_{1j},P_2,P_1} = \frac{(Z_{1j}\cdot P_2)P_{1A}-(P_1\cdot P_2)Z_{1jA}}{P_1\cdot P_2}\,D^A_{Z_{2i},P_2}\,. \tag{D.7}$$

The term that is contracted into the operator $D^A_{Z_{2i},P_2}$ ensures transverseness in Z_{1j}. By construction, the action on (D.4) must yield a transverse function

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{D}_{Z_{2i},Z_{1j},P_2,P_1}\frac{\left(V_{(3,12)}^{(Z_{31})}\right)^{l_1}\left(H_{23}^{(Z_{21},Z_{32})}\right)^{l_2}\dots\left(H_{23}^{(Z_{2(h_1-1)},Z_{3h_1})}\right)^{l_{h_1}}}{(P_{13})^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_1+\Delta-\Delta_2)}(P_{23})^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_2+\Delta-\Delta_1)}(P_{12})^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta)}} \qquad (D.8)\\
&\propto\left(\frac{H_{13}^{(Z_{1j},Z_{3(i+1)})}+cV_{(1,23)}^{(Z_{1j})}V_{(3,12)}^{(Z_{3(i+1)})}}{H_{23}^{(Z_{2i},Z_{3(i+1)})}}\right)\frac{\left(V_{(3,12)}^{(Z_{31})}\right)^{l_1}\left(H_{23}^{(Z_{21},Z_{32})}\right)^{l_2}\dots\left(H_{23}^{(Z_{2(h_1-1)},Z_{3h_1})}\right)^{l_{h_1}}}{(P_{13})^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_1+\Delta-\Delta_2)}(P_{23})^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_2+\Delta-\Delta_1)}(P_{12})^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta)}}\\
&\quad+\mathcal{O}(P_3^2,P_3\cdot Z_{3k},Z_{3k}\cdot Z_{3l})\,,
\end{aligned}$$

where c is an unspecified constant. The term containing $V_{(3,12)}^{(Z_{3(i+1)})}$ vanishes upon Young symmetrization with $\left(V_{(3,12)}^{(Z_{31})}\right)^{l_1}$. By repeated use of such operators, any three-point function with a single tensor structure can be generated

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \mathcal{O}_1(P_1,\mathbf{Z}_2)\mathcal{O}_2(P_2,\mathbf{Z}_2)\mathcal{O}(P_3,\mathbf{Z}_3)\right\rangle_{\text{full}} &\propto \pi_{\lambda_1}(\mathbf{Z}_1,\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_1})\,\pi_{\lambda_2}(\mathbf{Z}_2,\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_2})\,\pi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{Z}_3,\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_3}) \qquad (D.9)\\
&\quad\mathcal{D}_{\bar{Z}_{2i},\bar{Z}_{1j},P_2,P_1}\dots\mathcal{D}_{\bar{Z}_{2k},\bar{Z}_{1l},P_2,P_1}\left\langle\hat{\mathcal{O}}_1(P_1)\hat{\mathcal{O}}_2(P_2,\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_2)\mathcal{O}(P_3,\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_3)\right\rangle_{\text{enc}}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_1(P_1)$ is in the scalar representation $\hat{\lambda}_1=\bullet$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_2(P_2,\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_2)$ in an irrep $\hat{\lambda}_2$ satisfying $|\hat{\lambda}_2|=|\lambda_1|+|\lambda_2|$. In order to compute $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}_{\Delta\Delta_{12}}$ one can insert (D.9) into (D.1). The action of the operator on both three-point functions is obviously the same, and the resulting $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}_{\Delta\Delta_{12}}$ is the same that one gets when using the three-point function given in (D.4). In the next two subsections, $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}_{\Delta\Delta_{12}}$ is computed using this three-point function.

D.2 Young diagrams with two rows

The computations here are done using the conventions of [42] to allow reusing some of their computations. We start with the case of $\lambda = (l_1, l_2)$. To compute the constant we will consider a three-point function of an operator $\mathcal{O}$ in this representation with a scalar $\mathcal{O}_1$ and a symmetric tensor $\mathcal{O}_2$ of spin l_2. This correlator can be written as

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\mathcal{O}_2(x_2,z_2)\mathcal{O}(x_3,\mathbf{z}_3)\rangle = \frac{\pi_\lambda(\mathbf{z}_3;\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}_3})\left(k^{(312)}(\bar{z}_{31})\right)^{l_1}\left(m^{(23)}(z_2,\bar{z}_{32})\right)^{l_2}}{\left(x_{13}^2\right)^{\frac{\Delta_1-\Delta_2+\Delta_3}{2}}\left(x_{23}^2\right)^{\frac{-\Delta_1+\Delta_2+\Delta_3}{2}}\left(x_{12}^2\right)^{\frac{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta_3}{2}}}\,, \tag{D.10}$$

where the building blocks $m^{(ij)}$ and $k^{(ijk)}$ are physical space variants of the building blocks H_{ij} and $V_{i,jk}$ defined in (3.12), given by

$$m_{ab}^{(ij)} = \delta_{ab} - \frac{2}{x_{ij}^2}(x_{ij})_a(x_{ij})_b\,, \qquad k_a^{(ijk)} = \frac{x_{ij}^2(x_{ik})_a - x_{ik}^2(x_{ij})_a}{\left(x_{ij}^2x_{ik}^2x_{jk}^2\right)^{1/2}}\,. \tag{D.11}$$

We will also use the notation

$$m^{(ij)}(z_k,z_l) = z_k^a m_{ab}^{(ij)} z_l^b\,, \qquad k^{(ijk)}(z) = k_a^{(ijk)} z^a\,. \tag{D.12}$$

To compute the ratio in (D.1) it is not necessary to keep track of terms containing z_{31}^2, z_{32}^2 or $z_{31}\cdot z_{32}$. Those will be collectively denoted by $\mathcal{O}(z_{3i}\cdot z_{3j})$. Furthermore, it is enough to consider the term of order l_2 in $z_2\cdot z_{32}$, so terms of order $\mathcal{O}\big((z_2\cdot z_{32})^{l_2-1}\big)$ can be dropped.

We need to compute the three-point function of the shadow operator. This is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\mathcal{O}_2(x_2,z_2)\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(x_3,\mathbf{z}_3)\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{l_1!l_2!}\,\pi_\lambda(\mathbf{z}_3;\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}_3})\int \frac{d^dx_0}{\left(x_{03}^2\right)^{d-\Delta}\left(x_{01}^2\right)^{\frac{\Delta_1-\Delta_2+\Delta}{2}}\left(x_{02}^2\right)^{\frac{-\Delta_1+\Delta_2+\Delta}{2}}\left(x_{12}^2\right)^{\frac{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta}{2}}} \\
&\quad \left(m^{(30)}(\bar{z}_{31},\partial_{z_{01}})\right)^{l_1}\left(m^{(30)}(\bar{z}_{32},\partial_{z_{02}})\right)^{l_2}\pi_\lambda(\mathbf{z}_0;\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}_0})\left(k^{(012)}(\bar{z}_{01})\right)^{l_1}\left(m^{(02)}(\bar{z}_{02},z_2)\right)^{l_2} \\
&= \pi_\lambda(\mathbf{z}_3;\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}_3})\int \frac{d^dx_0}{\left(x_{03}^2\right)^{d-\Delta}}\frac{\left(y(\bar{z}_{31})\right)^{l_1}\left(y_2(\bar{z}_{32},z_2)\right)^{l_2}}{\left(x_{01}^2\right)^{\frac{\Delta_1-\Delta_2+\Delta}{2}}\left(x_{02}^2\right)^{\frac{-\Delta_1+\Delta_2+\Delta}{2}}\left(x_{12}^2\right)^{\frac{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta}{2}}}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{D.13}$$

where we defined

$$\begin{aligned}
y(z_{31}) &= z_{31}^a m_{ab}^{(30)} k^{(012)\,b} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{x_{01}^2x_{23}^2}{x_{03}^2x_{12}^2}} - \frac{x_{02}^2x_{13}^2}{\sqrt{x_{01}^2x_{03}^2x_{12}^2x_{23}^2}}\right)k^{(302)}(z_{31}) + \sqrt{\frac{x_{02}^2x_{13}^2}{x_{01}^2x_{23}^2}}k^{(312)}(z_{31})\,, \\
y_2(z_{32},z_2) &= z_{32}^a m_{ab}^{(30)} m_{bc}^{(02)} z_2^c = m^{(32)}(z_{32},z_2) - 2\,k^{(302)}(z_{32})k^{(203)}(z_2)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{D.14}$$

The reason why the trace subtracting terms of the projector $\pi_\lambda(\mathbf{z}_0;\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}_0})$ in (D.13) do not contribute is that $m_{ac}^{(30)}m_{bc}^{(30)} = \delta_{ab}$, so these terms are annihilated by the other projector. Not keeping track of terms containing z_{31}^2, z_{32}^2 or $z_{31}\cdot z_{32}$ means that the trace subtracting terms of the other projector can be ignored as well and we can use instead the Young symmetrizer

$$Y_\lambda(z_1,z_2;\bar{z}_1,\bar{z}_2) = \pi_\lambda(z_1,z_2;\bar{z}_1,\bar{z}_2)\big|_{z_i^2=z_1\cdot z_2=\bar{z}_i^2=\bar{z}_1\cdot\bar{z}_2=0}\,, \tag{D.15}$$

leading to

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\langle \mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\mathcal{O}_2(x_2,z_2)\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(x_3,\mathbf{z}_3)\big\rangle \\ &= Y_\lambda(\mathbf{z}_3;\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}_3})\int \frac{d^dx_0}{\big(x_{03}^2\big)^{d-\Delta}}\frac{\big(y(\bar{z}_{31})\big)^{l_1}\big(y_2(\bar{z}_{32},z_2)\big)^{l_2}}{\big(x_{01}^2\big)^{\frac{\Delta_1-\Delta_2+\Delta}{2}}\big(x_{02}^2\big)^{\frac{-\Delta_1+\Delta_2+\Delta}{2}}\big(x_{12}^2\big)^{\frac{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta}{2}}}+\mathcal{O}(z_{3i}\cdot z_{3j})\,.\end{aligned} \tag{D.16}$$

One needs to compute the conformal integral

$$I^{n,m}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(x_1,x_2,x_3,z_{31},z_{32},z_2)=\int\frac{d^dx_0\;\big(k^{(302)}(z_{31})\big)^n\big(k^{(302)}(z_{32})k^{(203)}(z_2)\big)^m}{\big(x_{01}^2\big)^\alpha\big(x_{02}^2\big)^\beta\big(x_{03}^2\big)^\gamma}\,, \tag{D.17}$$

which is done in subsection D.4 below. Next we do a trinomial expansion of $y(z_{31})$ and a binomial expansion of $y_2(z_{32},z_2)$ in (D.16). Note that we consider only the term proportional to $(z_2\cdot z_{32})^{l_2}$, for which the action of the Young symmetrizer results only in the factor $S_{l_1,k+b,i}$, which will be explained below

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\langle \mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\mathcal{O}_2(x_2,z_2)\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(x_3,\mathbf{z}_3)\big\rangle \\ &=\sum_{k=0}^{l_1}\sum_{b=0}^{l_1-k}\frac{l_1!}{k!b!(l_1-k-b)!}(-1)^b\sum_{i=0}^{l_2}\frac{l_2!}{i!(l_2-i)!}(-2)^iS_{l_1,k+b,i}\\ &\big(x_{12}^2\big)^{\frac{-\Delta_1-\Delta_2+\Delta-k-b}{2}}\big(x_{13}^2\big)^{\frac{l_1-k+b}{2}}\big(x_{23}^2\big)^{k-\frac{l_1}{2}}\Big(k^{(312)}(z_{31})\Big)^{l_1-k-b}(z_2\cdot z_{32})^{l_2-i}\\ &I^{k+b,i}_{\frac12(\Delta_{12}+\Delta+l_1-2k),\frac12(-\Delta_{12}+\Delta-l_1+k-b),d-\Delta+\frac{k+b}{2}}(x_1,x_2,x_3,z_{31},z_{32},z_2)\\ &+\mathcal{O}(z_{3i}\cdot z_{3j})+\mathcal{O}\big((z_2\cdot z_{32})^{l_2-1}\big)\\ &=\pi^{d/2}\Big(k^{(312)}(z_{31})\Big)^{l_1}(z_2\cdot z_{32})^{l_2}\big(x_{12}^2\big)^{\frac{\tilde\Delta-\Delta_1-\Delta_2}{2}}\big(x_{13}^2\big)^{\frac{\Delta_2-\Delta_1-\tilde\Delta}{2}}\big(x_{23}^2\big)^{\frac{\Delta_1-\Delta_2-\tilde\Delta}{2}}\\ &\sum_{i=0}^{l_2}\sum_{k=0}^{l_1-i}\sum_{b=0}^{l_1-k-i}\frac{(l_1-i)!l_2!}{k!b!(l_1-k-b-i)!(l_2-i)!}(-1)^{b+i}\\ &\frac{\Gamma\big(\frac12(d-\Delta_{12}-\Delta-l_1)+k+i\big)\Gamma\big(\frac12(d+\Delta_{12}-\Delta+l_1)+b\big)\Gamma\big(\Delta-\frac{d}{2}\big)}{\Gamma\big(\frac12(\Delta_{12}+\Delta+l_1)-k\big)\Gamma\big(\frac12(-\Delta_{12}+\Delta-l_1)+k+i\big)\Gamma(d-\Delta+k+b+i)}\\ &+\mathcal{O}(z_{3i}\cdot z_{3j})+\mathcal{O}\big((z_2\cdot z_{32})^{l_2-1}\big)\\ &=\pi^{d/2}\Big(k^{(312)}(z_{31})\Big)^{l_1}(z_2\cdot z_{32})^{l_2}\big(x_{12}^2\big)^{\frac{\tilde\Delta-\Delta_1-\Delta_2}{2}}\big(x_{13}^2\big)^{\frac{\Delta_2-\Delta_1-\tilde\Delta}{2}}\big(x_{23}^2\big)^{\frac{\Delta_1-\Delta_2-\tilde\Delta}{2}}\\ &\frac{(\Delta-2)_{l_1+1}}{(\Delta-2+l_2)}\frac{\Gamma\big(\Delta-\frac{d}{2}\big)\Gamma\big(\frac12(d+\Delta_{12}-\Delta+l_1)\big)\Gamma\big(\frac12(d-\Delta_{12}-\Delta+l_1)\big)}{\Gamma(d-\Delta+l_1)\Gamma\big(\frac12(\Delta_{12}+\Delta+l_1)\big)\Gamma\big(\frac12(-\Delta_{12}+\Delta+l_1)\big)}\\ &+\mathcal{O}(z_{3i}\cdot z_{3j})+\mathcal{O}\big((z_2\cdot z_{32})^{l_2-1}\big)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{D.18}$$

The sums were evaluated by using first that

$$\sum_{b=0}^{N}\frac{N!}{b!(N-b)!}(-1)^b\frac{\Gamma(x+b)}{\Gamma(y+b)}=\frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y-x+N)}{\Gamma(y+N)\Gamma(y-x)}\,, \tag{D.19}$$

then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{N!}{k!(N-k)!} \frac{1}{\Gamma(x+k)\Gamma(y-k)} = \frac{\Gamma(x+y+N-1)}{\Gamma(x+N)\Gamma(y)\Gamma(x+y-1)}\,, \tag{D.20}$$

and finally

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l_2} \frac{l_2!(-1)^i}{(l_2-i)!} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta-1+l_1)}{\Gamma(\Delta-1+i)} = \frac{(\Delta-2)_{l_1+1}}{(\Delta-2+l_2)}\,. \tag{D.21}$$

The factor $S_{l_1,k+b,i}$ appearing in (D.18) is given by

$$S_{l_1,k+b,i} = \frac{(l_1-k-b)_{(i)}}{(l_1)_{(i)}}\,, \tag{D.22}$$

where we used the following notation for the falling factorial

$$(x)_{(n)} \equiv (x-n+1)_n = (x)(x-1)\dots(x-n+1)\,. \tag{D.23}$$

This factor arises from the Young symmetrization of the tensor encoded by (z_{31}, z_{32}). This can be understood by drawing birdtracks, where the (z_{31}, z_{32}) are antisymmetrized according to the Young symmetrizer. The following birdtracks represent the term

$$\left(k^{(312)}(z_{31})\right)^{l_1-k-b} \left(k^{(302)}(z_{31})\right)^{k+b} \left(k^{(302)}(z_{32})\right)^{i}\,, \tag{D.24}$$

which appears in (D.18) before integration. The contraction with multiple copies of $k_a^{(302)}$ results in a symmetrization which cancels all terms in which two antisymmetrized indices get symmetrized. Consider for example the case with $i = 1$, where the cancellations lead to a factor of $S_{l_1,k+b,1} = \frac{l_1-k-b}{l_1}$,



Consider also the next case $i = 2$,



The constant $\mathcal{S}$ is now found by comparing (D.18) with the corresponding term in (D.10)

$$\mathcal{S}^{(l_1,l_2)}_{\Delta\Delta_{12}} = \pi^{d/2} \frac{(\Delta-2)_{l_1+1}}{(\Delta-2+l_2)} \frac{\Gamma\big(\Delta-\frac{d}{2}\big)\Gamma\big(\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\Delta}+\Delta_{12}+l_1)\big)\Gamma\big(\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\Delta}-\Delta_{12}+l_1)\big)}{\Gamma(\tilde{\Delta}+l_1)\Gamma\big(\frac{1}{2}(\Delta+\Delta_{12}+l_1)\big)\Gamma\big(\frac{1}{2}(\Delta-\Delta_{12}+l_1)\big)} \,. \tag{D.25}$$

D.3 Arbitrary representations

As a next example we will compute the constant for the representations $\lambda = (l_1, l_2, l_3)$, $\lambda_1 = \bullet$, $\lambda_2 = (l_2, l_3)$. From the derivation it will also be clear what the result is for arbitrary λ. The three-point function is in this case

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\langle \mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\mathcal{O}_2(x_2,\mathbf{z}_2)\mathcal{O}(x_3,\mathbf{z}_3)\big\rangle_{\text{full}} \\
&= \pi_\lambda(\mathbf{z}_2;\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}_2})\pi_\lambda(\mathbf{z}_3;\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}_3}) \frac{\big(k^{(312)}(\bar{z}_{31})\big)^{l_1}\big(m^{(23)}(\bar{z}_{21},\bar{z}_{32})\big)^{l_2}\big(m^{(23)}(\bar{z}_{22},\bar{z}_{33})\big)^{l_3}}{\big(x_{13}^2\big)^{\frac{\Delta_1-\Delta_2+\Delta_3}{2}}\big(x_{23}^2\big)^{\frac{-\Delta_1+\Delta_2+\Delta_3}{2}}\big(x_{12}^2\big)^{\frac{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta_3}{2}}} \\
&= \pi_\lambda(\mathbf{z}_3;\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}_3}) \frac{\big(k^{(312)}(\bar{z}_{31})\big)^{l_1}\big(m^{(23)}(z_{21},\bar{z}_{32})\big)^{l_2}\big(m^{(23)}(z_{22},\bar{z}_{33})\big)^{l_3}}{\big(x_{13}^2\big)^{\frac{\Delta_1-\Delta_2+\Delta_3}{2}}\big(x_{23}^2\big)^{\frac{-\Delta_1+\Delta_2+\Delta_3}{2}}\big(x_{12}^2\big)^{\frac{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta_3}{2}}} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}(z_{2i}\cdot z_{2j}) + \mathcal{O}\big((z_{21}\cdot z_{32})^{l_2-1}\big) + \mathcal{O}\big((z_{22}\cdot z_{33})^{l_3-1}\big)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{D.26}$$

The three-point function of the shadow operator is then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\langle \mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\mathcal{O}_2(x_2,\mathbf{z}_2)\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(x_3,\mathbf{z}_3)\big\rangle_{\text{full}} \\
&= \int \frac{d^dx_0}{(x_{03}^2)^{d-\Delta}} \frac{Y_\lambda(\mathbf{z}_3;\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}_{31}})\,(y(\bar{z}_{31}))^{l_1}\,(y_2(\bar{z}_{32},z_{21}))^{l_2}\,(y_2(\bar{z}_{33},z_{22}))^{l_3}}{\big(x_{01}^2\big)^{\frac{\Delta_1-\Delta_2+\Delta}{2}}\big(x_{02}^2\big)^{\frac{-\Delta_1+\Delta_2+\Delta}{2}}\big(x_{12}^2\big)^{\frac{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta}{2}}} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}(z_{2i}\cdot z_{2j}) + \mathcal{O}(z_{3i}\cdot z_{3j}) + \mathcal{O}\big((z_{21}\cdot z_{32})^{l_2-1}\big) + \mathcal{O}\big((z_{22}\cdot z_{33})^{l_3-1}\big)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{D.27}$$

To compute this expression one needs the following integral, which can be immediately read off from the result (D.36)

$$\begin{aligned}
&I^{n,m,o}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(x_1,x_2,x_3,z_{31},z_{32},z_{21},z_{33},z_{22})\\
&\equiv \int \frac{d^dx_0\ \left(k^{(302)}(z_{31})\right)^n\left(k^{(302)}(z_{32})k^{(203)}(z_{21})\right)^m\left(k^{(302)}(z_{33})k^{(203)}(z_{22})\right)^o}{\left(x_{01}^2\right)^\alpha\left(x_{02}^2\right)^\beta\left(x_{03}^2\right)^\gamma}\\
&=\pi^{d/2}\frac{m!o!}{2^{m+o}}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}-\alpha+m+o\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}-\beta+\frac{n}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}-\gamma+\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma\left(\beta+\frac{n}{2}+m+o\right)\Gamma\left(\gamma+\frac{n}{2}+m+o\right)}\\
&\quad\left(x_{23}^2\right)^{\alpha-\frac{d}{2}}\left(x_{13}^2\right)^{\beta-\frac{d}{2}}\left(x_{12}^2\right)^{\gamma-\frac{d}{2}}\left(k^{(312)}(z_{31})\right)^n(z_{21}\cdot z_{32})^m(z_{22}\cdot z_{33})^o\\
&\quad+\mathcal{O}(z_{3i}\cdot z_{3j})+\mathcal{O}\left((z_{21}\cdot z_{32})^{m-1}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left((z_{22}\cdot z_{33})^{o-1}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{D.28}$$

Next, the computation of (D.18) is repeated

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle\mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\mathcal{O}_2(x_2,\mathbf{z}_2)\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(x_3,\mathbf{z}_3)\right\rangle_{\text{full}}\\
&=\sum_{k=0}^{l_1}\sum_{b=0}^{l_1-k}\frac{l_1!}{k!b!(l_1-k-b)!}(-1)^b\sum_{i=0}^{l_2}\frac{l_2!}{i!(l_2-i)!}(-2)^i\sum_{j=0}^{l_3}\frac{l_3!}{j!(l_3-j)!}(-2)^jS_{l_1,k+b,i+j}S_{l_2,i,j}\\
&\quad\left(x_{12}^2\right)^{\frac{-\Delta_1-\Delta_2+\Delta-k-b}{2}}\left(x_{13}^2\right)^{\frac{l_1-k+b}{2}}\left(x_{23}^2\right)^{k-\frac{l_1}{2}}\left(k^{(312)}(z_{31})\right)^{l_1-k-b}(z_{21}\cdot z_{32})^{l_2-i}(z_{22}\cdot z_{33})^{l_3-j}\\
&\quad I^{k+b,i,j}_{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{12}+\Delta+l_1-2k),\frac{1}{2}(-\Delta_{12}+\Delta-l_1+k-b),d-\Delta+\frac{k+b}{2}}(x_1,x_2,x_3,z_{31},z_{32},z_{21},z_{33},z_{22})\\
&\quad+\mathcal{O}(z_{2i}\cdot z_{2j})+\mathcal{O}(z_{3i}\cdot z_{3j})+\mathcal{O}\left((z_{21}\cdot z_{32})^{l_2-1}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left((z_{22}\cdot z_{33})^{l_3-1}\right)\\
&=\left(k^{(312)}(z_{31})\right)^{l_1}(z_{21}\cdot z_{32})^{l_2}(z_{22}\cdot z_{33})^{l_3}\left(x_{12}^2\right)^{\frac{\tilde{\Delta}-\Delta_1-\Delta_2}{2}}\left(x_{13}^2\right)^{\frac{\Delta_2-\Delta_1-\tilde{\Delta}}{2}}\left(x_{23}^2\right)^{\frac{\Delta_1-\Delta_2-\tilde{\Delta}}{2}}\\
&\quad\pi^{d/2}\sum_{j=0}^{l_3}\sum_{i=0}^{l_2-j}\sum_{k=0}^{l_1-i-j}\sum_{b=0}^{l_1-k-i-j}\frac{(l_1-i-j)!(l_2-j)!l_3!}{k!b!(l_1-k-b-i-j)!(l_2-i-j)!(l_3-j)!}(-1)^{b+i+j}\\
&\quad\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(d-\Delta_{12}-\Delta-l_1)+k+i+j\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(d+\Delta_{12}-\Delta+l_1)+b\right)\Gamma\left(\Delta-\frac{d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{12}+\Delta+l_1)-k\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(-\Delta_{12}+\Delta-l_1)+k+i+j\right)\Gamma(d-\Delta+k+b+i+j)}\\
&\quad+\mathcal{O}(z_{2i}\cdot z_{2j})+\mathcal{O}(z_{3i}\cdot z_{3j})+\mathcal{O}\left((z_{21}\cdot z_{32})^{l_2-1}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left((z_{22}\cdot z_{33})^{l_3-1}\right)\\
&=\left(k^{(312)}(z_{31})\right)^{l_1}(z_{21}\cdot z_{32})^{l_2}(z_{22}\cdot z_{33})^{l_3}\left(x_{12}^2\right)^{\frac{\tilde{\Delta}-\Delta_1-\Delta_2}{2}}\left(x_{13}^2\right)^{\frac{\Delta_2-\Delta_1-\tilde{\Delta}}{2}}\left(x_{23}^2\right)^{\frac{\Delta_1-\Delta_2-\tilde{\Delta}}{2}}\\
&\quad\pi^{d/2}\frac{(\Delta-3)_{l_1+2}}{(\Delta-2+l_2)(\Delta-3+l_3)}\frac{\Gamma\left(\Delta-\frac{d}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(d+\Delta_{12}-\Delta+l_1)\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(d-\Delta_{12}-\Delta+l_1)\right)}{\Gamma(d-\Delta+l_1)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{12}+\Delta+l_1)\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(-\Delta_{12}+\Delta+l_1)\right)}\\
&\quad+\mathcal{O}(z_{2i}\cdot z_{2j})+\mathcal{O}(z_{3i}\cdot z_{3j})+\mathcal{O}\left((z_{21}\cdot z_{32})^{l_2-1}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left((z_{22}\cdot z_{33})^{l_3-1}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{D.29}$$

The only non-trivial new ingredient here is the factor $S_{l_2,i,j}$. Since the vectors z_{31} are treated as before (with the factor $S_{l_1,k+b,i+j}$), consider only the vectors z_{32} and z_{33}. They appear in the form of

$$(z_{21}\cdot z_{32})^{l_2-i}(z_{22}\cdot z_{33})^{l_3-j}\left(k^{(302)}(z_{32})\right)^i\left(k^{(302)}(z_{33})\right)^j.\tag{D.30}$$

One can now draw a birdtrack containing only the antisymmetrizations of the j copies of z_{33} that are contracted to $k^{(302)}$. All of the indices that are antisymmetrized with those cannot be contracted to $k^{(302)}$ as well. Consider for example the case $j = 2$,



(D.31)

Hence the factor $S_{l_2,i,j} = \frac{(l_2-i)_{(j)}}{(l_2)_{(j)}}$ has to be included.

By now it is clear that the computation for Young diagrams with more rows will work out analogously, and that the constant relating a three-point functions of an operator and the one of its shadow for a Young diagram with row lengths $(l_1, l_2, \ldots)$ and column heights $(h_1, h_2, \ldots)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}_{\Delta\Delta_{12}} &= \pi^{d/2}\frac{(\Delta - h_1)_{l_1+h_1-1}}{\prod_{i=2}^{h_1}(\Delta - i + l_i)}\frac{\Gamma\big(\Delta - \frac{d}{2}\big)\Gamma\big(\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\Delta} + \Delta_{12} + l_1)\big)\Gamma\big(\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\Delta} - \Delta_{12} + l_1)\big)}{\Gamma(\tilde{\Delta} + l_1)\Gamma\big(\frac{1}{2}(\Delta + \Delta_{12} + l_1)\big)\Gamma\big(\frac{1}{2}(\Delta - \Delta_{12} + l_1)\big)} \\ &= \pi^{d/2}\prod_{i=1}^{l_1}(\Delta - h_i + i - 1)\,\frac{\Gamma\big(\Delta - \frac{d}{2}\big)\Gamma\big(\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\Delta} + \Delta_{12} + l_1)\big)\Gamma\big(\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\Delta} - \Delta_{12} + l_1)\big)}{\Gamma(\tilde{\Delta} + l_1)\Gamma\big(\frac{1}{2}(\Delta + \Delta_{12} + l_1)\big)\Gamma\big(\frac{1}{2}(\Delta - \Delta_{12} + l_1)\big)}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{D.32}$$

D.4 Conformal integrals

We will use the following conformal integral (for $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = d$)

$$\begin{aligned}I_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= \int \frac{d^d x_0}{(x_{01}^2)^{\alpha}(x_{02}^2)^{\beta}(x_{03}^2)^{\gamma}} \\ &= \pi^{d/2}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} - \alpha)\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} - \beta)\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} - \gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\gamma)}(x_{23}^2)^{\alpha - \frac{d}{2}}(x_{13}^2)^{\beta - \frac{d}{2}}(x_{12}^2)^{\gamma - \frac{d}{2}}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{D.33}$$

to compute the following integral (for $\alpha+\beta+\gamma=d$)

$$I^{n,m}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(x_1,x_2,x_3,z_{31},z_{32},z_2)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}(-1)^k\big(x_{23}^2\big)^{\frac{n}{2}-k+m}(x_{23}\cdot z_{31})^k \tag{D.34}$$
$$\int\frac{d^dx_0\ \ (x_{03}\cdot z_{31})^{n-k}\,(x_{03}\cdot z_{32})^m\,(x_{02}\cdot z_2)^m}{\big(x_{01}^2\big)^{\alpha}\big(x_{02}^2\big)^{\beta+\frac{n}{2}+m}\big(x_{03}^2\big)^{\gamma+\frac{n}{2}-k+m}}+\mathcal{O}\big((z_2\cdot z_{32})^{m-1}\big)$$
$$=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}(-1)^k\big(x_{23}^2\big)^{\frac{n}{2}-k+m}(x_{23}\cdot z_{31})^k\frac{\Gamma\big(\gamma-\frac{n}{2}\big)}{2^{n-k+m}\Gamma\big(\gamma+\frac{n}{2}-k+m\big)}\frac{\Gamma\big(\beta+\frac{n}{2}\big)}{2^m\Gamma\big(\beta+\frac{n}{2}+m\big)}$$
$$(z_2\cdot\partial_{x_2})^m\,(z_{32}\cdot\partial_{x_3})^m\,(z_{31}\cdot\partial_{x_3})^{n-k}\,I_{\alpha,\beta+\frac{n}{2},\gamma-\frac{n}{2}}(x_1,x_2,x_3)+\mathcal{O}\big((z_2\cdot z_{32})^{m-1}\big)\,.$$

The computation of the derivative also simplifies significantly when considering only terms of order $\mathcal{O}((z_2\cdot z_{32})^m)$. It is enough to consider the contribution of $(z_2\cdot\partial_{x_2})^m\,(z_{32}\cdot\partial_{x_3})^m$ acting on $(x_{23}^2)^{\alpha-h-(n-k-b)}$,

$$(z_2\cdot\partial_{x_2})^m\,(z_{32}\cdot\partial_{x_3})^m\,(z_{31}\cdot\partial_{x_3})^{n-k}\,I_{\alpha,\beta+\frac{n}{2},\gamma-\frac{n}{2}}(x_1,x_2,x_3) \tag{D.35}$$
$$=\pi^{d/2}\sum_{b=0}^{n-k}\frac{(n-k)!m!}{b!(n-k-b)!}2^{n-k+m}\frac{\Gamma\big(\frac{d}{2}-\alpha+n-k-b+m\big)\Gamma\big(\frac{d}{2}-\beta-\frac{n}{2}+b\big)\Gamma\big(\frac{d}{2}-\gamma+\frac{n}{2}\big)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma\big(\beta+\frac{n}{2}\big)\Gamma\big(\gamma-\frac{n}{2}\big)}$$
$$\big(x_{23}^2\big)^{\alpha-\frac{d}{2}-(n-k-b)-m}\big(x_{13}^2\big)^{\beta-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{n}{2}-b}\big(x_{12}^2\big)^{\gamma-\frac{d}{2}-\frac{n}{2}}\,(x_{13}\cdot z_{31})^b\,(x_{23}\cdot z_{31})^{n-k-b}\,(z_2\cdot z_{32})^m$$
$$+\mathcal{O}(z_{3i}\cdot z_{3j})+\mathcal{O}\big((z_2\cdot z_{32})^{m-1}\big)\,.$$

By inserting this in the last expression for $I^{n,m}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ in (D.34) , one finds

$$I^{n,m}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(x_1,x_2,x_3,z_{31},z_{32},z_2)$$
$$=\pi^{d/2}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum_{b=0}^{n-k}\frac{n!m!(-1)^k}{k!b!(n-k-b)!2^m}\frac{\Gamma\big(\frac{d}{2}-\alpha+n-k-b+m\big)\Gamma\big(\frac{d}{2}-\beta-\frac{n}{2}+b\big)\Gamma\big(\frac{d}{2}-\gamma+\frac{n}{2}\big)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta+\frac{n}{2}+m)\Gamma(\gamma+\frac{n}{2}-k+m)}$$
$$\big(x_{23}^2\big)^{\alpha-\frac{d}{2}-\frac{n}{2}+b}\big(x_{13}^2\big)^{\beta-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{n}{2}-b}\big(x_{12}^2\big)^{\gamma-\frac{d}{2}-\frac{n}{2}}\,(x_{13}\cdot z_{31})^b\,(x_{23}\cdot z_{31})^{n-b}\,(z_2\cdot z_{32})^m$$
$$+\mathcal{O}(z_{3i}\cdot z_{3j})+\mathcal{O}\big((z_2\cdot z_{32})^{m-1}\big)$$
$$=\pi^{d/2}\sum_{b=0}^{n}\frac{n!m!}{b!(n-b)!}\frac{(-1)^{n-b}}{2^m}\frac{\Gamma\big(\frac{d}{2}-\alpha+m\big)\Gamma\big(\frac{d}{2}-\beta+\frac{n}{2}\big)\Gamma\big(\frac{d}{2}-\gamma+\frac{n}{2}\big)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma\big(\beta+\frac{n}{2}+m\big)\Gamma\big(\gamma+\frac{n}{2}+m\big)} \tag{D.36}$$
$$\big(x_{23}^2\big)^{\alpha-\frac{d}{2}-\frac{n}{2}+b}\big(x_{13}^2\big)^{\beta-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{n}{2}-b}\big(x_{12}^2\big)^{\gamma-\frac{d}{2}-\frac{n}{2}}\,(x_{13}\cdot z_{31})^b\,(x_{23}\cdot z_{31})^{n-b}\,(z_2\cdot z_{32})^m$$
$$+\mathcal{O}(z_{3i}\cdot z_{3j})+\mathcal{O}\big((z_2\cdot z_{32})^{m-1}\big)$$
$$=\pi^{d/2}\frac{m!}{2^m}\frac{\Gamma\big(\frac{d}{2}-\alpha+m\big)\Gamma\big(\frac{d}{2}-\beta+\frac{n}{2}\big)\Gamma\big(\frac{d}{2}-\gamma+\frac{n}{2}\big)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma\big(\beta+\frac{n}{2}+m\big)\Gamma\big(\gamma+\frac{n}{2}+m\big)}$$
$$\big(x_{23}^2\big)^{\alpha-\frac{d}{2}}\big(x_{13}^2\big)^{\beta-\frac{d}{2}}\big(x_{12}^2\big)^{\gamma-\frac{d}{2}}\left(k^{(312)}(z_{31})\right)^n(z_2\cdot z_{32})^m+\mathcal{O}(z_{3i}\cdot z_{3j})+\mathcal{O}\big((z_2\cdot z_{32})^{m-1}\big)\,.$$

The sum over k was evaluated using

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N}\frac{N!}{k!(N-k)!}(-1)^k\frac{\Gamma(x-k)}{\Gamma(y-k)}=(-1)^N\frac{\Gamma(x-N)\Gamma(y-x+N)}{\Gamma(y)\Gamma(y-x)}\,. \tag{D.37}$$

E OPE limit of conformal blocks in the shadow formalism

In order for the conformal blocks to satisfy the recursion relation derived above, it is crucial that they have the correct normalization. To compare to other results it is a good idea to consider the OPE limit $x_{12}^a \to 0, x_{34}^a \to 0$. This can be done in physical space by generalizing a trick from [38]. To this end let us work again in physical space, as in the previous appendix. The shadow operator of an operator $\mathcal{O}$ in the irrep (Δ, λ) is given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(x_0, \mathbf{z}_0) = \frac{\pi_\lambda(\mathbf{z}_0, \boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathbf{z}_4})}{l_1! l_2! \dots l_{h_1}!} \int \frac{d^d x_4}{(x_{04}^2)^{d-\Delta}} \left(m^{(04)}(z_{41}, \partial_{\bar{z}_{41}})\right)^{l_1} \dots \left(m^{(04)}(z_{4h_1}, \partial_{\bar{z}_{4h_1}})\right)^{l_{h_1}} \mathcal{O}(x_4, \bar{\mathbf{z}}_4)\,. \tag{E.1}$$

For a lighter notation we will choose for the remainder of this appendix to consider Young diagrams with at most three rows $\lambda = (l_1, l_2, l_3)$. Furthermore, we will compute the OPE limit for conformal blocks with $\lambda_1 = \lambda_3 = \bullet$ and $\lambda_2 = \lambda_4 = (l_2, l_3)$. Other configurations can be treated analogously by replacing some of the vectors z_{2i} by z_{1j} or z_{4i} by z_{3j}.

We want to compute the OPE limit of the conformal partial wave

$$W_{\Delta,\lambda}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{S}^\lambda_{\Delta\Delta_{34}} \mathcal{S}^\lambda_{\tilde{\Delta}\Delta_{34}}} \int d^d x_0 \langle \mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\mathcal{O}_2(x_2, \mathbf{z}_2)\mathcal{O}(x_0, \boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathbf{z}_0})\rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_3(x_3)\mathcal{O}_4(x_4, \mathbf{z}_4)\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(x_0, \mathbf{z}_0)\rangle\,, \tag{E.2}$$

where the three-point functions are given by[7]

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\mathcal{O}_2(x_2, \mathbf{z}_2)\mathcal{O}(x_0, \mathbf{z}_0)\rangle = \pi_\lambda(\mathbf{z}_0, \boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}_0}) \frac{\left(k^{(012)}(\bar{z}_{01})\right)^{l_1} \left(m^{(20)}(z_{21}, \bar{z}_{02})\right)^{l_2} \left(m^{(20)}(z_{22}, \bar{z}_{03})\right)^{l_3}}{\left(x_{12}^2\right)^{\frac{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta}{2}} \left(x_{01}^2\right)^{\frac{\Delta+\Delta_1-\Delta_2}{2}} \left(x_{02}^2\right)^{\frac{\Delta+\Delta_2-\Delta_1}{2}}}\,. \tag{E.3}$$

To perform the OPE limit one can use

$$k^{(012)}(z_{01}) \underset{x_{12}\to 0}{\sim} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_{12}^2}} m^{(20)}(x_{12}, z_{01})\,, \tag{E.4}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\mathcal{O}_2(x_2, \mathbf{z}_2)\mathcal{O}(x_0, \mathbf{z}_0)\rangle \underset{x_{12}\to 0}{\sim} \\ &\qquad \pi_\lambda(\mathbf{z}_0, \boldsymbol{\partial}_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}_0}) \frac{\left(m^{(20)}(x_{12}, \bar{z}_{01})\right)^{l_1} \left(m^{(20)}(z_{21}, \bar{z}_{02})\right)^{l_2} \left(m^{(20)}(z_{22}, \bar{z}_{03})\right)^{l_3}}{\left(x_{12}^2\right)^{\frac{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta+l_1}{2}} \left(x_{02}^2\right)^{\Delta}}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{E.5}$$

Inserting this into (E.2), and using the definition of the shadow operator (E.1), one finds

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\Delta,\lambda}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4} &\underset{x_{12}\to 0}{\sim} \frac{1}{\mathcal{S}^\lambda_{\Delta\Delta_{34}} \mathcal{S}^\lambda_{\tilde{\Delta}\Delta_{34}}} \left(x_{12}^2\right)^{\frac{\Delta-l_1-\Delta_1-\Delta_2}{2}} \langle \mathcal{O}_3(x_3)\mathcal{O}_4(x_4, \mathbf{z}_4)\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}(x_2, x_{12}, z_{21}, z_{22})\rangle \\ &= \left(x_{12}^2\right)^{\frac{\Delta-l_1-\Delta_1-\Delta_2}{2}} \langle \mathcal{O}_3(x_3)\mathcal{O}_4(x_4, \mathbf{z}_4)\mathcal{O}(x_2, x_{12}, z_{21}, z_{22})\rangle\,. \end{aligned} \tag{E.6}$$

[7] We are omitting the projector π_{λ_2} which has no impact on this computation.

Doing the limit $x_{34}^a \to 0$ in a similar way leads to

$$W_{\Delta,\lambda}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4} \underset{\substack{x_{12}\to 0\\ x_{34}\to 0}}{\sim} \pi_\lambda(x_{12}, z_{21}, z_{22}, \boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathbf{z}_0}) \frac{\left(m^{(24)}(z_{01}, x_{34})\right)^{l_1} \left(m^{(24)}(z_{02}, z_{41})\right)^{l_2} \left(m^{(24)}(z_{03}, z_{42})\right)^{l_3}}{(x_{24}^2)^{\Delta} (x_{12}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta+l_1)} (x_{34}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_3+\Delta_4-\Delta+l_1)}} \,. \tag{E.7}$$

In order to extract the normalization it is convenient to consider only the term which is of leading order in the building blocks $m^{(24)}(x_{12}, x_{34})$, $m^{(24)}(z_{21}, x_{41})$ and $m^{(24)}(z_{22}, x_{42})$. This term has a prefactor that can be found by considering the birdtrack diagram in (2.58) and removing all antisymmetrizations, resulting in a factor of

$$\frac{n_\lambda}{\prod_{i=1}^{l_1} h_i!} \,. \tag{E.8}$$

Finally one can use that

$$1 - v \underset{\substack{x_{12}\to 0\\ x_{34}\to 0}}{\sim} \frac{2m^{(24)}(x_{12}, x_{34})}{x_{24}^2} \,, \tag{E.9}$$

and find

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\Delta,\lambda}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4} \underset{\substack{x_{12}\to 0\\ x_{34}\to 0}}{\sim} & \frac{n_\lambda}{\prod_{i=1}^{l_1} h_i!} 2^{-l_1} u^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta-l_1)} (1-v)^{l_1} \frac{\left(m^{(24)}(z_{21}, z_{41})\right)^{l_2} \left(m^{(24)}(z_{22}, z_{42})\right)^{l_3}}{\left(x_{12}^2\right)^{\frac{\Delta_1+\Delta_2}{2}} \left(x_{34}^2\right)^{\frac{\Delta_3+\Delta_4}{2}}} \\ & + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{1-v}{\sqrt{u}}\right)^{l_1-1}, \left(m^{(24)}(z_{21}, z_{41})\right)^{l_2-1}, \left(m^{(24)}(z_{22}, z_{42})\right)^{l_3-1} \right) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{E.10}$$

F Spherical tensor harmonics

In this appendix the relation between projectors to $SO(d)$ irreps and spherical tensor harmonics on the sphere S^{d-1} is explained. In the case of projectors to traceless symmetric tensors this relation is just the fact that the projectors are encoded by Gegenbauer polynomials (2.7), which are scalar spherical harmonics. In the radial coordinates of [49], the conformal blocks are naturally written in terms of spherical tensor harmonics [51].

Consider a tensor field on $S^{d-1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We shall work in the embedding space $\mathbb{R}^d$ and impose transversality

$$x^{a_i} t_{a_1 \ldots a_k}(x) = 0 \,, \tag{F.1}$$

for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and $x_a x^a = 1$. As shown in subsection F.1 below, covariant derivatives on the sphere are just partial derivatives $\partial_a = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ projected to the sphere (over all indices of the resulting tensor). Therefore, the laplacian on the sphere can be written as

$$\nabla^2 t_{a_1 \ldots a_k} = P^{ab} \partial_a \left(P_b^c P_{b_1}^{c_1} \ldots P_{b_k}^{c_k} \partial_c t_{c_1 \ldots c_k} \right) P_{a_1}^{b_1} \ldots P_{a_k}^{b_k} \,, \tag{F.2}$$

where $P_{ab} = \delta_{ab} - x_a x_b$ is a projector onto the unit sphere. Using (F.1) on the sphere $x_a x^a = 1$, one can simplify this expression to

$$\nabla^2 t_{a_1 \dots a_k} = P^{b_1}_{a_1} \dots P^{b_k}_{a_k} \left(\partial_a \partial^a - x^a x^b \partial_a \partial_b - (d-1) x^a \partial_a + k \right) t_{b_1 \dots b_k} \,. \tag{F.3}$$

Another interesting differential operator to consider is the quadratic Casimir of the symmetry group $SO(d)$, generated by

$$J_{ab} = i \left(x_a \partial_b - x_b \partial_a \right) + \Sigma_{ab} \,, \tag{F.4}$$

where Σ_{ab} rotates the indices of a tensor. More precisely,

$$\Sigma_{ab} t_{a_1 \dots a_k} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left[M_{ab} \right]^c_{a_i} t_{a_1 \dots a_{i-1} c a_{i+1} \dots a_k} \,, \tag{F.5}$$

with $\left[M^{ab} \right]^c_e = i \left(\delta^a_e \delta^{bc} - \delta^b_e \delta^{ac} \right)$. The quadratic Casimir is then given by

$$\frac{1}{2} J_{ab} J^{ab} = -x^a \partial^b \left(x_a \partial_b - x_b \partial_a \right) + 2 i x_a \partial_b \Sigma^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{ab} \Sigma^{ab} \,. \tag{F.6}$$

Acting on a tensor obeying (F.1) on the sphere $x_a x^a = 1$, it gives

$$\frac{1}{2} J_{ab} J^{ab} t_{a_1 \dots a_k} = P^{b_1}_{a_1} \dots P^{b_k}_{a_k} \left[-\nabla^2 - k + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{ab} \Sigma^{ab} \right] t_{b_1 \dots b_k} \,, \tag{F.7}$$

where we used expression (F.3) for the laplacian on the sphere.

Now consider a tensor field defined by the following contraction with a traceless mixed-symmetry tensor

$$t_{(b_1 \dots b_{l_2})(c_1 \dots c_{l_3}) \dots} = x^{e_1} \dots x^{e_{l_1}} f_{(e_1 \dots e_{l_1})(b_1 \dots b_{l_2})(c_1 \dots c_{l_3}) \dots} \,. \tag{F.8}$$

Notice that tracelessness and property (2.10) of f implies transversality (F.1) and

$$\nabla^{a_i} t_{a_1 \dots a_k} = 0 \,, \tag{F.9}$$

where $k = \sum_{i=2} l_i$ and a_i can be any of the indices of the tensor. Using formula (F.3) it is easy to obtain

$$\nabla^2 t_{a_1 \dots a_k} = -\left[l_1 (l_1 + d - 2) - k \right] t_{a_1 \dots a_k} \,. \tag{F.10}$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{ab} \Sigma^{ab} t_{(b_1 \dots b_{l_2})(c_1 \dots c_{l_3}) \dots} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{h_1 - 1} l_{i+1} (l_{i+1} + d - 2i) \right] t_{(b_1 \dots b_{l_2})(c_1 \dots c_{l_3}) \dots} \,, \tag{F.11}$$

because Σ_{ab} only rotates the indices, and therefore $\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{ab} \Sigma^{ab}$ just measures the Casimir of the irreducible tensor. This statement can also be checked explicitly using the definition (F.5). One can also check that (F.7) leads to the expected result

$$\frac{1}{2} J_{ab} J^{ab} t_{(b_1 \dots b_{l_2})(c_1 \dots c_{l_3}) \dots} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{h_1} l_i (l_i + d - 2i) \right] t_{(b_1 \dots b_{l_2})(c_1 \dots c_{l_3}) \dots} \,. \tag{F.12}$$

Using the projectors of the previous sections, we can construct the following function

$$\Omega_\lambda^{b_1\dots,b'_1\dots}(x,y) = c_\lambda\, x_{a_1}\dots x_{a_{l_1}}\pi_\lambda^{(a_1\dots a_{l_1})(b_1\dots b_{l_2})\dots,(a'_1\dots a'_{l_1})(b'_1\dots b'_{l_2})\dots} y_{a'_1}\dots y_{a'_{l_1}}\,. \tag{F.13}$$

The arguments above show that this function is a tensor harmonic at the point x with indices $b_1\dots$. It is also a tensor harmonic at point y with indices $b'_1\dots$. We shall fix the normalization constant c_λ by imposing the following orthogonality relation

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} dy\,\Omega_\lambda^{a_1\dots,b_1\dots}(x,y)\delta_{b_1b'_1}\dots\Omega_{\lambda'}^{b'_1\dots,c_1\dots}(y,z) = \delta_{\lambda,\lambda'}\,\Omega_\lambda^{a_1\dots,c_1\dots}(x,z)\,. \tag{F.14}$$

Using the definition (F.13) the only integral that we need to compute is

$$I^{a_1\dots a_k} = \int_{S^{d-1}} dy\, y^{a_1}\dots y^{a_k}\,. \tag{F.15}$$

Rotational and permutation symmetry imply that $I^{a_1\dots a_k}=0$ for odd k and

$$I^{a_1\dots a_{2k}} = q_k\,\delta^{(a_1a_2}\dots\delta^{a_{2k-1}a_{2k})}\,, \tag{F.16}$$

for some constant q_k. This constant can be determined by computing the full contraction[8]

$$\delta_{a_1a_2}\dots\delta_{a_{2k-1}a_{2k}}I^{a_1\dots a_{2k}} = q_k 4^k k!\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)_k = \mathrm{Vol}(S^{d-1})\,. \tag{F.17}$$

This is sufficient to verify the orthogonality relation (F.14) and to determine the normalization constant

$$c_\lambda = \frac{1}{l_1!\,q_{l_1}} = \frac{4^{l_1}\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)_{l_1}}{\mathrm{Vol}(S^{d-1})}\,. \tag{F.18}$$

Let us now consider again the orthogonality relation (F.14) in the case of scalar harmonics and let us sum over l,

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} dy\,\Omega_{(l')}(x,y)\sum_{l=0}^\infty\Omega_{(l)}(y,z) = \Omega_{(l')}(x,z)\,. \tag{F.19}$$

This suggests the following completeness relation

$$\sum_{l=0}^\infty\Omega_{(l)}(y,z) = \delta(y,z)\,, \tag{F.20}$$

where the delta-function is defined with respect to the sphere metric. This reasoning can be generalized to the case of tensor harmonics. We illustrate this method in the case of vector harmonics, corresponding to $\lambda=(l_1,1)$. Summing the orthogonality relation (F.14) over l_1 we find

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} dy\,\Omega_{(l'_1,1)}^{a,b'}(x,y)\delta_{b'b}\sum_{l_1=1}^\infty\Omega_{(l_1,1)}^{b,c}(y,z) = \Omega_{(l'_1,1)}^{a,c}(x,z)\,, \tag{F.21}$$

[8]The full contraction of k Kronecker-deltas with the total index symmetrization of another set of k Kronecker-deltas can be determined recursively.

which leads to

$$\sum_{l_1=1}^{\infty} \Omega^{b,c}_{(l_1,1)}(y,z) + \nabla^b_y \nabla^c_z Q(y,z) = \delta^{bc}\delta(x,z)\,, \tag{F.22}$$

where $Q(y,z)$ can be any function of $y\cdot z$ because its contribution to (F.21) vanishes by integration by parts. To determine this function we act with $\delta_{b'b}\nabla^{b'}_y$ on the last equation,

$$\nabla^c_z \nabla^2_y Q(y,z) = \nabla^c_y \delta(x,z) = -\nabla^c_z \delta(x,z)\,. \tag{F.23}$$

Comparing with (F.20) and using the laplacian eigenvalues of the scalar harmonics we conclude that

$$Q(y,z) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l(l+d-2)}\,\Omega_{(l)}(y,z)\,. \tag{F.24}$$

The discussion here is very similar to the discussion of harmonic functions in AdS in [54].

F.1 Covariant derivatives

We consider a tensor $t_{a_1\ldots a_k}$ obeying (F.1). Let us denote by y^α a set of $(d-1)$-coordinates parametrizing the unit sphere S^{d-1}. In these coordinates, the tensor is given by

$$\tilde{t}_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_k} = \frac{\partial x^{a_1}}{\partial y^{\alpha_1}}\cdots\frac{\partial x^{a_k}}{\partial y^{\alpha_k}} t_{a_1\ldots a_k}\,, \tag{F.25}$$

and its covariant derivative is

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_\beta \tilde{t}_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_k} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\beta}\tilde{t}_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_k} - \sum_{i=1}^{k}\Gamma^\gamma_{\beta\alpha_i}\tilde{t}_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_{i-1}\gamma\alpha_{i+1}\ldots\alpha_k} \\
&= \frac{\partial x^b}{\partial y^\beta}\frac{\partial x^{a_1}}{\partial y^{\alpha_1}}\cdots\frac{\partial x^{a_k}}{\partial y^{\alpha_k}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^b}t_{a_1\ldots a_k} \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\partial^2 x^{a_i}}{\partial y^\beta \partial y^{\alpha_i}} - \Gamma^\gamma_{\beta\alpha_i}\frac{\partial x^{a_i}}{\partial y^\gamma}\right)\frac{\partial x^{a_1}}{\partial y^{\alpha_1}}\cdots\frac{\partial x^{a_{i-1}}}{\partial y^{\alpha_{i-1}}}\frac{\partial x^{a_{i+1}}}{\partial y^{\alpha_{i+1}}}\cdots\frac{\partial x^{a_k}}{\partial y^{\alpha_k}}t_{a_1\ldots a_k}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{F.26}$$

We will now show that the last line vanishes and therefore covariant derivatives on the sphere are equal to partial derivatives on $\mathbb{R}^d$ projected to the sphere. It is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{\partial^2 x^a}{\partial y^\beta \partial y^\alpha} - \Gamma^\gamma_{\beta\alpha}\frac{\partial x^a}{\partial y^\gamma} \propto x^a\,, \tag{F.27}$$

because the last line in (F.26) vanishes due to the transversality condition (F.1). Equation (F.27) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial^2 x^a}{\partial y^\beta \partial y^\alpha}\frac{\partial x_a}{\partial y^\mu} - \Gamma^\gamma_{\beta\alpha}\frac{\partial x^a}{\partial y^\gamma}\frac{\partial x_a}{\partial y^\mu} = 0\,, \tag{F.28}$$

which can be easily verified using the sphere metric

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial y^\alpha}\frac{\partial x_a}{\partial y^\beta}\,, \tag{F.29}$$

and the expression for the Levi-Civita connection

$$\Gamma^\gamma_{\beta\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\gamma\mu}\left(g_{\mu\beta,\alpha} + g_{\mu\alpha,\beta} - g_{\beta\alpha,\mu}\right). \tag{F.30}$$

References

[1] S. Ferrara, A. Grillo and R. Gatto, *Tensor representations of conformal algebra and conformally covariant operator product expansion*, *Annals Phys.* **76** (1973) 161–188.

[2] A. Polyakov, *Nonhamiltonian approach to conformal quantum field theory*, *Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz.* **66** (1974) 23–42.

[3] R. Rattazzi, V. S. Rychkov, E. Tonni and A. Vichi, *Bounding scalar operator dimensions in 4D CFT*, *JHEP* **0812** (2008) 031, [0807.0004].

[4] V. S. Rychkov and A. Vichi, *Universal Constraints on Conformal Operator Dimensions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D80** (2009) 045006, [0905.2211].

[5] D. Poland and D. Simmons-Duffin, *Bounds on 4D Conformal and Superconformal Field Theories*, *JHEP* **05** (2011) 017, [1009.2087].

[6] R. Rattazzi, S. Rychkov and A. Vichi, *Central Charge Bounds in 4D Conformal Field Theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D83** (2011) 046011, [1009.2725].

[7] R. Rattazzi, S. Rychkov and A. Vichi, *Bounds in 4D Conformal Field Theories with Global Symmetry*, *J. Phys.* **A44** (2011) 035402, [1009.5985].

[8] D. Poland, D. Simmons-Duffin and A. Vichi, *Carving Out the Space of 4D CFTs*, *JHEP* **05** (2012) 110, [1109.5176].

[9] S. El-Showk, M. F. Paulos, D. Poland, S. Rychkov, D. Simmons-Duffin and A. Vichi, *Solving the 3D Ising Model with the Conformal Bootstrap*, *Phys. Rev.* **D86** (2012) 025022, [1203.6064].

[10] P. Liendo, L. Rastelli and B. C. van Rees, *The Bootstrap Program for Boundary CFT_d*, *JHEP* **07** (2013) 113, [1210.4258].

[11] C. Beem, L. Rastelli and B. C. van Rees, *The $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Superconformal Bootstrap*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 071601, [1304.1803].

[12] F. Gliozzi, *More constraining conformal bootstrap*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 161602, [1307.3111].

[13] F. Kos, D. Poland and D. Simmons-Duffin, *Bootstrapping the $O(N)$ vector models*, *JHEP* **06** (2014) 091, [1307.6856].

[14] S. El-Showk, M. Paulos, D. Poland, S. Rychkov, D. Simmons-Duffin and A. Vichi, *Conformal Field Theories in Fractional Dimensions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 141601, [1309.5089].

[15] S. El-Showk, M. F. Paulos, D. Poland, S. Rychkov, D. Simmons-Duffin and A. Vichi, *Solving the 3d Ising Model with the Conformal Bootstrap II. c-Minimization and Precise Critical Exponents*, *J. Stat. Phys.* **157** (2014) 869, [1403.4545].

[16] Y. Nakayama and T. Ohtsuki, *Approaching the conformal window of $O(n) \times O(m)$ symmetric Landau-Ginzburg models using the conformal bootstrap*, *Phys. Rev.* **D89** (2014) 126009, [1404.0489].

[17] S. M. Chester, J. Lee, S. S. Pufu and R. Yacoby, *The $\mathcal{N} = 8$ superconformal bootstrap in three dimensions*, *JHEP* **09** (2014) 143, [1406.4814].

[18] F. Kos, D. Poland and D. Simmons-Duffin, *Bootstrapping Mixed Correlators in the 3D Ising Model*, *JHEP* **11** (2014) 109, [1406.4858].

[19] M. F. Paulos, *JuliBootS: a hands-on guide to the conformal bootstrap*, 1412.4127.

[20] C. Beem, M. Lemos, P. Liendo, L. Rastelli and B. C. van Rees, *The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal bootstrap*, 1412.7541.

[21] D. Simmons-Duffin, *A Semidefinite Program Solver for the Conformal Bootstrap*, *JHEP* **06** (2015) 174, [1502.02033].

[22] F. Gliozzi, P. Liendo, M. Meineri and A. Rago, *Boundary and Interface CFTs from the Conformal Bootstrap*, *JHEP* **05** (2015) 036, [1502.07217].

[23] N. Bobev, S. El-Showk, D. Mazac and M. F. Paulos, *Bootstrapping SCFTs with Four Supercharges*, *JHEP* **08** (2015) 142, [1503.02081].

[24] F. Kos, D. Poland, D. Simmons-Duffin and A. Vichi, *Bootstrapping the O(N) Archipelago*, *JHEP* **11** (2015) 106, [1504.07997].

[25] C. Beem, M. Lemos, L. Rastelli and B. C. van Rees, *The (2, 0) superconformal bootstrap*, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016) 025016, [1507.05637].

[26] L. Iliesiu, F. Kos, D. Poland, S. S. Pufu, D. Simmons-Duffin and R. Yacoby, *Bootstrapping 3D Fermions*, 1508.00012.

[27] M. Lemos and P. Liendo, *Bootstrapping $\mathcal{N} = 2$ chiral correlators*, *JHEP* **01** (2016) 025, [1510.03866].

[28] Y.-H. Lin, S.-H. Shao, D. Simmons-Duffin, Y. Wang and X. Yin, *N=4 Superconformal Bootstrap of the K3 CFT*, 1511.04065.

[29] S. M. Chester, L. V. Iliesiu, S. S. Pufu and R. Yacoby, *Bootstrapping $O(N)$ Vector Models with Four Supercharges in $3 \leq d \leq 4$*, 1511.07552.

[30] D. Li, D. Meltzer and D. Poland, *Conformal Collider Physics from the Lightcone Bootstrap*, *JHEP* **02** (2016) 143, [1511.08025].

[31] S. M. Chester and S. S. Pufu, *Towards Bootstrapping QED_3*, 1601.03476.

[32] C. Behan, *PyCFTBoot: A flexible interface for the conformal bootstrap*, 1602.02810.

[33] D. M. Hofman, D. Li, D. Meltzer, D. Poland and F. Rejon-Barrera, *A Proof of the Conformal Collider Bounds*, 1603.03771.

[34] F. Kos, D. Poland, D. Simmons-Duffin and A. Vichi, *Precision Islands in the Ising and $O(N)$ Models*, 1603.04436.

[35] M. S. Costa, J. Penedones, D. Poland and S. Rychkov, *Spinning Conformal Blocks*, *JHEP* **1111** (2011) 154, [1109.6321].

[36] A. C. Echeverri, E. Elkhidir, D. Karateev and M. Serone, *Deconstructing Conformal Blocks in 4D CFT*, *JHEP* **08** (2015) 101, [1505.03750].

[37] S. Ferrara, A. Grillo, G. Parisi and R. Gatto, *The shadow operator formalism for conformal algebra. vacuum expectation values and operator products*, *Lett.Nuovo Cim.* **4S2** (1972) 115–120.

[38] F. Dolan and H. Osborn, *Conformal Partial Waves: Further Mathematical Results*, 1108.6194.

[39] D. Simmons-Duffin, *Projectors, Shadows, and Conformal Blocks*, *JHEP* **1404** (2014) 146, [1204.3894].

[40] F. Dolan and H. Osborn, *Conformal four point functions and the operator product expansion*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B599** (2001) 459–496, [hep-th/0011040].

[41] F. Dolan and H. Osborn, *Conformal partial waves and the operator product expansion*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B678** (2004) 491–507, [hep-th/0309180].

[42] F. Rejon-Barrera and D. Robbins, *Scalar-Vector Bootstrap*, *JHEP* **01** (2016) 139, [1508.02676].

[43] B. Geyer and M. Lazar, *Twist decomposition of nonlocal light cone operators. 2. General tensors of 2nd rank*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B581** (2000) 341–390, [hep-th/0003080].

[44] J. Eilers, *Geometric twist decomposition off the light-cone for nonlocal QCD operators*, hep-th/0608173.

[45] M. S. Costa and T. Hansen, *Conformal correlators of mixed-symmetry tensors*, *JHEP* **1502** (2015) 151, [1411.7351].

[46] P. Cvitanovic, *Group theory: Birdtracks, Lie's and exceptional groups*. Princeton University Press, 2008.

[47] M. S. Costa, J. Penedones, D. Poland and S. Rychkov, *Spinning Conformal Correlators*, *JHEP* **1111** (2011) 071, [1107.3554].

[48] A. C. Echeverri, E. Elkhidir, D. Karateev and M. Serone, *Seed Conformal Blocks in 4D CFT*, 1601.05325.

[49] M. Hogervorst and S. Rychkov, *Radial Coordinates for Conformal Blocks*, *Phys.Rev.* **D87** (2013) 106004, [1303.1111].

[50] J. Penedones, E. Trevisani and M. Yamazaki, *Recursion Relations for Conformal Blocks*, 1509.00428.

[51] M. S. Costa, T. Hansen, J. Penedones and E. Trevisani, *Radial expansion for spinning conformal blocks*, 1603.05552.

[52] V. Dobrev, V. Petkova, S. Petrova and I. Todorov, *Dynamical Derivation of Vacuum Operator Product Expansion in Euclidean Conformal Quantum Field Theory*, *Phys.Rev.* **D13** (1976) 887.

[53] N. El Samra and R. C. King, *Dimensions of irreducible representations of the classical Lie groups*, *J. Phys. A* **12** (1979) 2317–2328.

[54] M. S. Costa, V. Gonçalves and J. Penedones, *Spinning AdS Propagators*, *JHEP* **1409** (2014) 064, [1404.5625].